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## ACRONYMS AND ABRREVIATIONS

| ACM | Asbestos Containing Material |
| :--- | :--- |
| AST | Above Ground Storage Tank |
| ASTM | American Society for Testing Materials |
| BNA | Base-neutral and Acid Extractable Organics |
| BRAC | Base Realignment and Closure |
| BTEX | Benzene, Toluene, Ethyl-benzene, Xylenes |
| CERCLA | Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act |
| CFR | Code of Federal Regulations |
| CWM | Chemical Warfare Material |
| DDESB | Department of Defense Explosives Safety Board |
| DMM | Discarded Military Munitions |
| DOD | U.S. Department of Defense |
| ECP | Environmental Condition of Property |
| EES | Easement and Equitable Servitude |
| EMPA | Ethyl Methylphosphonic Acid |
| EPA | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency |
| EPPs | Environmental Protection Provisions |
| ESS | Explosives Safety Submission |
| EWL | Explosives Washout Lagoons |
| FFA | Federal Facility Agreement |
| FOST | Finding of Suitability to Transfer |
| FS | Feasibility Study |
| Ft | feet or foot |
| GB | Nerve Agent (Sarin) |
| HD | Blister Agent (Mustard Agent) |
| HTH | Calcium Hypochlorite |
| IMPA | Isopropyl Methyl Phosphonic Acid |
| Inc. | Incorporated |
| LBP | Lead Based Paint |
| LUC | Land Use Control |
| MEC | Munitions and Explosives of Concern |
| NDAI | No DOD Action Indicated |
| NEPA | National Environmental Policy Act |
| NFA | No Further Action |
| NORM | Naturally Occurring Radioactive Material |
| NPL | National Priorities List |
| NRC | Nuclear Regulatory Commission |
| ODEQ | Oregon Department of Environmental Quality |
| OSHA | Occupational Safety and Health Administration |
| OU | Operable Unit |
|  |  |
| DA |  |


| PCB | Polychlorinated Biphenyls |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | picocuries per liter |
| ppm | Parts per million |
| QA | Quality Assurance |
| RCRA | Resource Conservation and Recovery Act |
| RDX | Hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine |
| REC | Record of Environmental Consideration |
| RI | Remedial Investigation |
| ROD | Record of Decision |
| sq | Square foot (feet) |
| SRI | Supplemental Remedial Investigation |
| SVOC | Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds |
| TAL | Target Analyte List |
| TCL | Target Compound List |
| TNT | 2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene |
| TPH | Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons |
| UDMH | unsymmetrical dimethyl hydrazine |
| UMCD | Umatilla Chemical Depot |
| USACE | U.S. Army Corps of Engineers |
| UST | Underground Storage Tank |
| UXO | Unexploded Ordnance |
| VOA | Volatile Organic Analytes |
| VOC | Volatile Organic Compound |
| VX | Nerve Agent S-2-(diisopropylamino)ethyl-O-ethyl-methylphosphonothioate |

# FINDING OF SUITABILITY TO TRANSFER (FOST) Umatilla Chemical Depot 

March 2022

## 1. Purpose

The purpose of this Finding of Suitability to Transfer (FOST) is to document the environmental suitability of Parcel $1(9,511.46$ acres) at the Umatilla Chemical Depot, Hermiston, Oregon for transfer to the Columbia Development Authority (CDA) consistent with the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) Section 120(h) and Department of Defense (DOD) policy. In addition, this FOST includes the CERCLA Notice, Covenant, and Access Provisions and other Deed Provisions and the Environmental Protection Provisions (EPPs) necessary to protect human health or the environment after such transfer.

## 2. Property Description

The Umatilla Chemical Depot (UMCD) is located in northeastern Oregon approximately 180 miles east of Portland, OR, 8 miles west of Hermiston, OR, and 3 miles south of the Columbia River. UMCD is bisected by the Umatilla County and Morrow County boundary line. UMCD is located in rural Eastern Oregon and surrounded by property used for agricultural purposes. There are no agricultural or grazing leases on UMCD.

The depot property originally encompassed 17,148.80 acres. The historical mission of UMCD was the storage, maintenance, and disposal of conventional and chemical munitions. No weapons manufacturing ever occurred on the site, but storage, maintenance, and destruction of both conventional munitions and chemical warfare material (CWM) did occur. Under the authority of the 1988 Base Closure and Realignment Act (BRAC), the installation was realigned and lost its conventional munitions storage mission. In the years following the BRAC 1988 realignment, the installation remained active with just the storage, maintenance, and destruction of its chemical munitions stockpile. Under the authority of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment (BRAC)Act of 1990 as amended in 2005, the installation was approved for closure conditioned upon a provision in the National Defense Authorization Act of 2012 that the installation would close not later than one year after the completion of the chemical demilitarization mission.

In 2017, the Army assigned 7,500 acres to the National Guard Bureau (NGB). The NGB licensed 7,500 acres to the Oregon Military Department (OMD) for the purpose of a training installation for the Oregon Army National Guard (ORANG). In 2022, the Army plans to convey Parcel 1, which encompasses $9,511.46$ acres, to the CDA under the BRAC authority for purposes of economic development. This FOST for Parcel 1 (the Property) does not evaluate or address Parcel 2, which encompasses 28.07 acres. The Army will not convey Parcel 2 until environmental remediation is complete with regulatory coordination, and a separate future FOST. In addition, the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) currently has an easement for use of 109.27 acres for a
section of I-82 alongside the installation and the Highway 82/84 interchange. The ownership of these 109.27 acres will transfer to the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and subsequently to the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) following a separate FOST. The Property consists of 9,511.46 acres (Parcel 1) (Enclosure 1, Map 1) and approximately 1,100 structures, including 565 storage igloos, over 100 buildings, roads, railroads, and electrical and water utility systems.

UMCD includes the former Umatilla Chemical Agent Disposal Facility (UMCDF), a multi-furnace incineration facility designed to dispose of the stockpile of chemical munitions that were stored at UMCD. Chemical Warfare Material (CWM) in the UMCD stockpile included munitions filled with blister agent HD (mustard) and the nerve agents GB (Sarin) and VX. The UMCDF consisted of numerous buildings, each providing a specific function for process support, maintenance, utilities, munitions handling and disassembly, agent destruction, and management of residual waste. The UMCDF was completed in 2001. CWM stockpile destruction operations began in 2004 and were completed in 2011, with chemical surety ending in March 2012. The multi-furnace incineration facility was demolished in 2014, completing the chemical demilitarization mission and leaving just the support buildings.

The Umatilla Army Depot Reuse Authority (UMADRA) was established to plan for the transfer of federal property for redevelopment and reuse by others. In August 2014, the UMADRA reorganized itself as an Implementation Local Redevelopment Authority (I-LRA). In September 2014, the I-LRA was recognized by The United States Department of Defense (DOD) and was renamed the Columbia Development Authority (CDA). The FOST property is to be transferred from the Army to the CDA as an Economic Development Conveyance under BRAC consistent with the intended reuse of the Property as set forth in the CDA Reuse Plan and is depicted in Table 1 below. A site map of the Property is attached (Enclosure 1, Map 1). The table below identifies acreage for proposed future land use for the Property.

Table 1
Future Reuse Designation

| CDA Area Reuse | Acres |
| :--- | ---: |
| Wildlife Refuge | $5,676.72$ |
| Industrial | 989.57 |
| Industrial/Restricted * | 964.42 |
| Industrial/Unrestricted ** | 888.32 |
| UMCDF (Industrial/CDA Demil Area)*** | 276.56 |
| Agriculture | 691.60 |
| Right-of-Way | 24.27 |
|  | $\mathbf{9 , 5 1 1 . 4 6}$ |

* Industrial/Restricted is defined in the UMCD Redevelopment Plan as industrial use that is limited to the utilization of igloos for storage.
** Industrial/Unrestricted is defined as general industrial uses of the land.
*** The Industrial/CDA Demilitarization Area would also be utilized as an unrestricted industrial area, and is named as such only because of its use for chemical demilitarization activities, which ceased in 2012.


## 3. Environmental Documentation

A determination of the environmental condition of the Property was made based upon the following documents:

Environmental Condition of Property Reports:

- USACE, 2010. U.S. Army Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) Environmental Condition of Property Report Umatilla Chemical Depot - Oregon. August 2010.
- USACE, 2013. US Army BRAC 2013 Environmental Condition of Property Report Umatilla Chemical Depot - Oregon. September 2013.
- U.S. Army, 2017. U.S. Army Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) Environmental Condition of Property Report Update Umatilla Chemical Depot - Oregon, For Transfer to the Columbia Development Authority, June 2017.
- U.S. Army, 2019. U.S. Army Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) Environmental Condition of Property Report Update Umatilla Chemical Depot - Oregon, For Transfer to the Columbia Development Authority. July 2019.
- U.S. Army, 2021. U.S. Army Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) Environmental Condition of Property Report Update Umatilla Chemical Depot - Oregon, For Transfer to the Columbia Development Authority. 1 December 2021.

USACE 2016 Environmental Assessment (EA) for Disposal and Reuse of Umatilla Chemical Depot, Oregon. August 2016.
U.S. Army, 2021. Final Finding of No Significant Impact (FNSI) Environmental Assessment of the Disposal and Reuse of Umatilla Chemical Depot, November 2021.

Brown, W., 1991. Final Environmental Impact Statement, Base Realignment and Closure, Umatilla Depot Activity. 1991.

Umatilla Army Depot Reuse Authority, 2010. U.S. Army Umatilla Chemical Depot Base Redevelopment Plan. August 2010.

The information provided is a result of a complete search of agency files during the development of these environmental surveys. A complete list of documents providing information on environmental conditions of the property is attached, Enclosure 2 (Environmental Documentation).

## 4. Environmental Condition of Property

Specific areas of UMCD have been classified into parcels of Environmental Condition of Property (ECP) types as defined by the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM Standard D)-5746-98, Standard Classification of Environmental Condition of Property Area Types for Defense Base Closure and Realignment Facilities (ASTM, 2016). The ECP map in Enclosure 1 (Map 2) illustrates the locations, condition types and approximate size within the Property. The ECP categories for applicable property are as follows:

ECP Category 1: These are areas or parcels of real property where no release or disposal of hazardous substance or petroleum products or their derivatives has occurred. The total acreage of

Category 1 property within Parcel 1 is $8,862.57$ acres. Enclosure 1, Map 2 illustrates the Category 1 type areas with no shading.

ECP Category 2: These are areas or parcels of real property where only the release or disposal of petroleum products or their derivatives has occurred. Enclosure 1, Map 2 illustrates these areas in blue shading. Below is a list of the Type 2 areas totaling 8.62 acres.

- Site 43 Former Gas Station (4.00 acres)
- Former USTs (94 \& 97) (1.90 acres)
- Former Airport Underground Storage Tank (UST) (1.50 acres)
- Former UST Southeast of Runway (1.22)

ECP Category 3: These are areas or parcels of real property where release, disposal or migration or some combination thereof of hazardous substances has occurred, but at concentrations that do not require removal or remedial action. Enclosure 1, Map 2 illustrates these areas in green shading. Below is a list of the Type 3 areas totaling 105.53 acres.

- Building 202 area (2.00 acres)
- Southwestern Warehouses and Site 69, Skunkworks (10.00 acres)
- Building 100 Warehouses ( 12.70 acres)
- Site 35 Malathion Storage Leak Area
- Site 37 Building 131 Paint Sludge Discharge Area
- Site 46 Railcar Unloading Area
- Site 68 Unsymmetrical dimethyl hydrazine (UDMH) Operation Area
- Building 129
- Building 101 and nearby UST
- Site 81-1 Former Raw Material Storage Locations (6.7 acres)
- Site 81-2 Former Raw Material Storage Locations (3.4 acres)
- Site 66 Brass, Cooper and Steel Storage Area
- Site 10 Mustard Agent (HD) Storage Area (8.60 acres)
- Site 51 Large Open Areas (24.2 acres)
- Site 62 Paint and Solvent Disposal Area
- Building 421 (0.03 acres)
- Site 50 Railroad Landfill Areas (10 acres)
- Site 61 Open Paint Spray Areas (21.7 acres)
- Site 79 Malathion Spray Area (2.2 acres)
- Site 44 I Road Oil Application/Disposal Sites (4.0 acres)

ECP Category 4: These are areas or parcels of real property where release, disposal or migration, or some combination thereof, of hazardous substances has occurred, and all remedial action necessary to protect human health and the environment have been taken. Enclosure 1, Map 2 illustrates these areas in purple shading. Below is a list of the Type 4 areas totaling 534.74 acres.

- Deactivation Furnace Area (15.8 acres):
- Site 1 Deactivation Furnace ( 1.2 acres)
- Site 25 I Metal Ore Piles (3.3 acres)
- Site 26 Metal Ingot Stockpile (11.0 acres)
- Site 44 II Road Oil Application/Disposal Building 210 (0.3 acres)
- UMCDF (63.20 acres) ${ }^{1}$
- Explosive Washout Lagoons Groundwater Plume (177.25 acres)
- Site 34 Closed Active Landfill (17.49 acres)
- Site 39 Quality Assurance (QA) Function Range (259.0 acres)
- J-Block (2.0 acres)

A summary of the ECP categories and the ECP category definitions are provided in Enclosure 3 (Table 1 Description of Property).

### 4.1. Environmental Remediation Sites

The Army's program for performing Remedial Actions (RAs) is known as the Installation Restoration Program (IRP). There are twenty-two (22) IRP sites located on the Property with response actions implemented under CERCLA or corrective actions implemented under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). These specific portions of the Property were not remediated to levels suitable for unrestricted use but were remediated to industrial use allowances that are consistent with the CDA's reuse plan. Table 4 below is a listing of the environmental sites.

Sites which have not been remediated for unrestricted use require Land Use Controls (LUCs) as part of the final remedy. Remedial actions implemented under CERCLA were documented in Records of Decision (RODs), which set forth the selected remedial actions, define the clean-up standards and, included a LUC remedy component to be implemented following active remediation. The LUCs for sites addressed under RCRA are required in accordance with the applicable permit closure documents. The LUCs are or will be documented in three places: LUC Remedial Design (LUCRD); conveyance deed Environmental Protection Provisions; and within a state authorized Easement and Equitable Servitude (EES) that will be executed and recorded prior to conveyance of the property to the CDA.

Table 4 Environmental Remediation Sites

| Operable Unit | ECP <br> Study <br> Site No. | Site Name | Status | ROD Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| None | 43 | Former Gas Station/ Possible <br> UST Location | A gasoline station was once located in the <br> central portion of UMCD, at the <br> intersection of Rim and Center Roads. No |  |

1 The entire UMCDF area is 276.56 , but only 63.20 acres are category type 4 .

| Operable Unit | ECP <br> Study <br> Site No. | Site Name | Status | ROD Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | action was required per the 1995 UST Investigation Report.) |  |
| None | 51 | Large Open Areas (Vicinity of EWL) | Study Site 51, known as the Northern Large Storage Area, is located northeast of the Explosives Washout Lagoons and northwest of Rim Road and the C-Block igloos. The area had been used as a gravel borrow pit. Low levels of metals and SVOCs were detected in the samples from the two locations. VOCs were not detected in the samples. Based on these results, no actions were deemed necessary. |  |
| None | 61 | Open Paint Spray Areas | These areas were reportedly used in the 1950s and 1960s to conduct outdoor spray-painting. No action was necessary. |  |
| None | 62 | Paint and Solvent Disposal Area | This area is located along the Coyote Coulee north of the Explosives Washout area. Reports of disposal of paint and solvent waste generated from a building formerly located east of the disposal location. No action was necessary. |  |
| None | 66 | Brass, Copper, and Steel Storage Area | This site is located near the railroad tracks south of Igloo Block H. Aerial photographs and UMCD employees reported that copper, brass, and steel were stored at this site after being unloaded from the railcar. No action was necessary. |  |
| None | 68 | UDMH <br> Operations Area (Building 129) | Located within the Deactivation Furnace and Southwestern Warehouse Area, building 129 was used to conduct stability test of UDMH and, potentially, red fuming nitric acid. Waste materials from the testing operations were drained through lead-lined pipes into a lime pit. No action was necessary. |  |
| None | 69 | Skunk Works Area | Located within the Deactivation Furnace and Southwestern Warehouse Area, this area was reported to be used for cleaning of copper and brass cartridges in hydrochloric acid baths and WEDAC (commercial cleaner) solutions. No action was necessary. |  |
| None | 79 | Malathion Spray Areas | Located in the northeast corner of Igloo Block C, this area is reported to have been sprayed with the insecticide Malathion during a commercial over-flight operation near UMCD. No action was necessary. |  |
| Deactivation Furnace | 1 | Deactivation Furnace | In July of 1992, the Deactivation Furnace was decontaminated and removed from the site and disposed or salvaged as nonhazardous solid waste during an | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { December } \\ & \text { 1992/ESD } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ |


| Operable Unit | ECP <br> Study <br> Site No. | Site Name | Status | ROD Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | RCRA closure action. During the RCRA closure action, hazardous waste (e.g. baghouse ash and rinse water) was disposed at the RCRA-permitted hazardous waste treatment, storage, and disposal (TSD) facility. No further action was necessary. LUCs were implement as required in the 2020 ESD. |  |
| Explosives Washout Lagoon Groundwater | 4 | Explosives Washout Lagoons | The ROD identified pump and treat as the selected remedy for treatment of groundwater contamination. The contaminated groundwater remediation infrastructure, a pump and treat facility with extraction wells, was constructed in 1995 and brought online in 1996 to remove explosives from the groundwater. A Operating Properly and Successfully (OPS) determination was approved in November 2016. | July 1994 |
| Misc. | 10 | Mustard Agent HD Storage Area | The 1994 Miscellaneous Sites ROD states that the potential risks associated with human exposure to soil contamination are within or below acceptable carcinogenic risk range, non-carcinogenic hazard levels, and action levels for lead at this site, and documented the NFA decision for this site. No LUCs are necessary for this site. | June 1994 |
| Closed Active Landfill | 34 | Closed Active Landfill | A Remedial Investigation (RI) was conducted in 1992, with groundwater sampling activities performed at ten adjacent monitoring wells. Analyses performed on the groundwater samples include: Target Analyte List (TAL) inorganics (which includes metals, nonmetallic elements, and cyanide), Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs), semivolatile organic compounds (SVOCs), pesticides, Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs), explosive constituents, and nitrate/nitrite. The RI results found elevated nitrate/nitrite and selenium levels, which are believed to be unrelated to landfill activities. The landfill has been closed IAW ODEQ solid waste regulations in 1997. The 2020 ESD documents LUCs to protect the integrity of the cap. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March } \\ & \text { 1993/ESD } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ |
| Misc. | 25 I | Metal Ore Piles | The 1994 Misc. Sites ROD states that the potential risks associated with human exposure to soil contamination are within or below acceptable carcinogenic risk | June 1994 |


| Operable Unit | ECP <br> Study <br> Site No. | Site Name | Status | ROD Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | range, non-carcinogenic hazard levels, and action levels for lead at this site, and documented the no action decision for this site. No LUCs are necessary for this site. |  |
| Misc. | 26 | Metal Ingot Stockpile | The 1994 Misc. Sites ROD states that the potential risks associated with human exposure to soil contamination are within or below acceptable carcinogenic risk rage, noncarcinogenic hazard levels, and action levels for lead at this site, and documented the no action decision for this site. No LUCs are necessary for this site. | June 1994 |
| Misc. | 109 | Paint Spray and Shot Blast Areas | The 1994 Miscellaneous Sites ROD states that the potential risks associated with human exposure to soil contamination are within or below acceptable carcinogenic risk range, non-carcinogenic hazard levels, and action levels for lead at this site, and documented the no action decision for this site. No LUCs are necessary for this site. | June 1994 |
| Misc. | 35 | Malathion Storage Leak Areas | The 1994 Misc. Sites ROD states that the potential risks associated with human exposure to soil contamination are within or below acceptable carcinogenic risk and non-carcinogenic hazard levels and action levels and documented the no action decision for this site. No LUCs are necessary for this site. | June 1994 |
| Misc. | 37 | Building 131 Paint Sludge Discharge Area | The 1994 Misc. Sites ROD states that the potential risks associated with human exposure to soil contamination are within or below acceptable carcinogenic risk and non-carcinogenic hazard levels and action levels and documented the no action decision for this site. No LUCs are necessary for this site. | June 1994 |
| Quality <br> Assurance <br> Function <br> Range | 39 | QA Function Range | The ROD required that 107 acres associated with the Rifle Range Area and 68 acres associated with the Test Pit Area undergo munitions and explosives of concern (MEC) clearance to a depth of two feet, and that one acre associated with the Test Pad Area be cleared to a depth of six feet. It also required that the soil around the three former QA function test pads, where high density geophysical anomalies were found during | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { May } \\ & 2005 / \mathrm{MFR} \\ & 2021 \end{aligned}$ |


| Operable Unit | ECP <br> Study <br> Site No. | Site Name | Status | ROD Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | investigations, to be sifted to a depth of two feet. <br> The MEC response for the 176 acres was completed in November 2008, and an After Action Report documenting completion of removal activities was submitted to the Department of Defense Explosive Safety Board (DDESB) and receipt acknowledged on 6 December 2010 to close out the 176-acre portion of the Explosive Safety Submission (ESS). On 16 March 2011, the DDESB approved no further action for the remaining 464 acres, closing out the remainder of the ESS and, thereby, allowing the transfer of Site 39. No further action with the implementation of LUCs. |  |
| Misc. | 44 (I \& II) | Road Oil <br> Application/Disposal Sites | The 1994 Misc. Sites ROD states that the potential risks associated with human exposure to soil contamination are within or below acceptable carcinogenic risk and non-carcinogenic hazard levels and action levels and documented the no further decision for this site. No LUCs are necessary for this site. | June 1994 |
| Misc. | 46 | Railcar Unloading Area | The 1994 Misc. Sites ROD states that the potential risks associated with human exposure to soil contamination are within or below acceptable carcinogenic risk and non-carcinogenic hazard levels and action levels and documented the no further decision for this site. No LUCS are necessary for this site. | June 1994 |
| Misc. | 50 | Railroad Landfill Area | The 1994 Misc. Sites ROD states that the potential risks associated with human exposure to soil contamination are within or below acceptable carcinogenic risk and non-carcinogenic hazard levels and action levels and documented the no action decision for this site. No LUCs are necessary for this site. | June 1994 |
| Misc. | 81 (-1\&-2) | Former Raw Material Storage Locations | The 1994 Misc. Sites ROD states that the potential risks associated with human exposure to soil contamination are within or below acceptable carcinogenic risk and non-carcinogenic hazard levels and action levels and documented the no action decision for this site. No LUCs are necessary for this site. | June 1994 |

### 4.2. Storage, Release, or Disposal of Hazardous Substances

Hazardous substances were stored for one year or more, released or disposed of on the Property in excess of reportable quantities specified in 40 CFR Part 373. All hazardous substance storage operations have been terminated on the Property. Hazardous substances were stored or released in excess of the 40 CFR 373 reportable quantities at the sites listed below. The release or disposal of these hazardous substances was addressed at the time of the discovery of the release as an immediate spill response or under the Installation Restoration Program (IRP). A summary of the buildings or areas in which hazardous substance activities occurred is provided in Enclosure 4, Table 2 Notification of Hazardous Substance Storage, Release, or Disposal. The CERCLA 120(h)(3) Notice, Description, and Covenant at Enclosure 7 will be included in the Deed.

- Deactivation Furnace OU, Site 1
- Explosives Washout Lagoon, Site 24
- Mustard Chemical Agent Open Storage Area, Site 10
- Closed Active Landfill, Site 34
- Metal Ore Piles, Site 25 (I\&II)
- Metal Ingot Stockpile, Site 26
- Malathion Storage Leak Area, Site 35
- Building 131 Paint Sludge Discharge Area, Site 37
- Quality Assurance Function Range, Site 39
- Road Oil Application/Disposal Sites, Site 44 (I\&II)
- Railcar Unloading Area, Site 46
- Drill and Transfer Area, Site 49
- Railroad Landfill Areas, Site 50
- Large Open Areas in the Vicinity of Coyote Coulee, Site 51
- Outdoor Spray Paint Area, Site 62 and Site 61
- Brass, Copper and Steel Storage Area, Site 66
- Building 129, UDMH Operations Area, Site 68
- Skunk Works Area, Site 69
- Malathion Spray Area (Northeast Corner of C-Block), Site 79
- Raw Materials Storage Locations, Site 81 (I\&II)
- J-Block Igloos
- RCRA Permitted CSF
- Building 115 Satellite Accumulation Area
- Building 203 RCRA Permitted CSF
- UMCDF

All hazardous substances storage operations have been terminated on the Property.

### 4.3. Petroledm and Petroledm Products

4.3.1. Underground and Aboveground Storage Tanks (UST/AST). UST/AST locations are identified on Enclosure 1 Map 4.

Current UST/AST Sites: There are no underground storage tanks (UST) and two above-ground storage tanks (AST) currently present on the Property.

- 280 gallon fuel oil tank near Building 133
- 2000 gallon fuel oil tank at Building 403

There is no evidence of petroleum releases from these sites.

## Former UST/AST Sites:

There were thirty-four (34) underground and six above-ground petroleum storage tanks (UST/AST) on the Property that were removed. The UST's are described in Enclosure 5 (Table 3 Notification of Petroleum Product Storage, Release, or Disposal). Any releases of petroleum products were addressed at the time of discovery or as part of UST/AST closure.

### 4.3.2. Non-UST/AST Storage, Release, or Disposal of Petroleum Products

In July 1995, two tanks (94 and 97) were removed that were associated with "blow-down" structures related to a boiler and air compressor system at Building 433 on Birch Road. Petroleumcontaminated soil was present as a result of spills around the fill pipes or leaks from damaged piping. After excavation, soil samples were collected, and if laboratory analysis indicated the presence of Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) contamination above cleanup levels, further over-excavation and sampling occurred until such contamination was removed. Confirmation samples collected at each excavation confirmed all petroleum-contaminated soil had been removed at each tank site. Excavations were backfilled with clean soil.

### 4.4. Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCB)

According to UMCD records, there were 239 transformers throughout the entire installation. All transformers were tested for PCBs in 1989. If any contained more than 10 ppm of PCBs, they were replaced. They were stored in the RCRA permitted CSF in building 203 until they were disposed. No spills were associated with their storage. There is no evidence that any PCB containing equipment remains on the property.

### 4.5. Asbestos

There is Asbestos Containing Material (ACM) in the buildings listed in Enclosure 9 (Asbestos Survey Results). The ACM includes: fire suppression equipment, transite boards, caulking, and roof shingles. See Dames and Moore, 1992a, Final Asbestos Assessment Survey, Umatilla Depot Activity, Hermiston, Oregon, August 1992 and USACE, 2013 US Army BRAC 2013 Environmental Condition of Property Report Umatilla Chemical Depot, Oregon, September 2013. Walkthrough surveys were conducted as part of the 2019 ACM Survey Re-Inspection and any remaining ACM is in good condition. Any remaining friable asbestos that has not been removed or encapsulated will not present an unacceptable risk to human health because the property transferee assumes responsibility under the deed for abatement or management of any ACM in accordance with applicable federal, state and
local requirements. The deed will include an asbestos warning and covenant, see Enclosure 8 (Environmental Protection Provisions).

### 4.6. Lead-Based Paint (LBP)

Enclosure 10 (Lead-Based Paint Sample Results) contains a list of the buildings on the Property known or presumed to contain LBP. A quantitative LBP survey was conducted at UMCD for the 1996 CERFA report. See Hart Crowser, 1996, Lead-Based Paint Survey, Umatilla Army Depot Activity, Umatilla, Oregon. Prepared for U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Seattle District, Dec. 1996, for more information.

The Property was not used for residential purposes and the grantee does not intend to use the Property for residential purposes in the future. The deed will include a lead based paint warning and covenant.

### 4.7. Radiological Materials

Igloo 928 is the only building in the CDA area that stored radiological material. There is no evidence of any release of radiological materials at these buildings. In June 2016 the US Army Public Health Center (APHC) conducted a Radiation Protection Survey at UMCD. In their final report, the APHC concluded that Igloo 928 met the Nuclear Regulatory Commission release criteria for unrestricted release (US Army Public Health Center, 2016).

### 4.8. RAdON

Radon surveys were conducted in 252 buildings on the Property. Radon was not detected above the EPA residential action level of 4 picocuries per liter ( $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ ) in these buildings.

### 4.9. Other Property Conditions

There are no other hazardous conditions on the Property that present an unacceptable risk to human health or the environment.

### 4.10. Munitions and Explosives of Concern (MEC)

Based on a review of existing records and available information, there was evidence that Munitions and Explosives of Concern (MEC) were present on the Property. The term "MEC" means military munitions that may pose unique explosives safety risks, including:
(A) unexploded ordnance (UXO), as defined in 10 U.S.C. §101(e)(5)
(B) discarded military munitions (DMM), as defined in 10 U.S.C. §2710(e)(2); or
(C) munitions constituents (e.g., TNT, RDX), as defined in 10 U.S.C. §2710(e)(3), present in high enough concentrations to pose an explosive hazard.

A 640 acre area was previously used for ammunition disposal in Study Site 39, the QA Function Range. The QA Function Range is located in the northeast corner of UMCD. From 1945 to 1975 this site was used as a rifle and pistol range, and from 1945 to 1970 flares, photoflash grenades, and
mines were tested in the southern portion of the site. A geophysical survey of the site was conducted and remedial actions for 176 acres have been completed.

Based on conclusions from the After Action Report (AAR) completed after the completion of the remedial actions conducted under the ROD, the Army determined that there is a low potential for encountering MEC at Site 39 for 176 acres and that no further removal action is necessary. The Department of Defense Explosives Safety Board (DDESB) concurred with this determination on 6 December 2010. The DDESB approved a no further action for the remaining 464 acres on 16 March 2011 closing out the remainder of the Explosive Safety Submission (ESS) and, thereby, allowing the transfer of Site 39.

The ROD lists the acreage for two areas as approximately 176 acres for the clearance area and 464 acres for the area not suspected of containing munitions. For a practical purpose, to implement a LUC, a Memorandum for the Record (MFR) to the ROD dated 4 May 2021 clarified the LUC area for the 176 acres by expanding the clearance area from 176 acres to 259 acres and shrinking the nonsuspect area to 376.68 acres. The reason for this alteration is that the 176 -acre clearance area was comprised of three non-contiguous sub-areas, and by adding acreage to connect these sub-areas to make one contiguous 259 -acre area the Army obtained a single legal parcel description for the LUC to encompass the clearance area.

The QA Function Range After Action Report, detailing the MEC Removal at the site, and the DDESB approval letters for the cleanup of the 176 acres with no further action for the remaining 464 acres are listed in the environmental documentation. Given the Property's past use, the deed will include Enclosure 6 (Table 4 Notification of Munitions and Explosives of Concern) which includes a summary of MEC discovered on the property. A deed restriction in regard to site 39 and a MEC Notice are in Enclosure 8 (Environmental Protection Provisions).

## 5. Adjacent Property Conditions

The following conditions exist on adjacent property to Parcel 1:

- Ammunition Demolition Area (ADA): In addition to MEC the ADA, (Enclosure 1, Map 2) was investigated for contaminates of concern in soils that may pose a threat to human health or the environment. A remedial action was selected in the ROD for the ADA area, that will also address contamination that exists beyond the federal boundary fence of the ADA, however, the remediation of such contamination, including MEC, is not yet complete. This effort may result in dust and noise that may impact the Property. While the work will be about a half mile north of the Property there may be noise from the controlled detonation of unexploded ordnance by appropriately trained personnel. Groundwater monitoring is ongoing at the ADA.
- ORARNG Firing Range/Training Area: This area (Enclosure 1, Map 2) is a military training reservation that includes live fire weapons ranges, mounted and dismounted maneuver activity areas, heavy tracked vehicles maneuver areas, and aircraft landing/takeoff areas. For that reason, the Property may periodically experience noise and other characteristics typical of military training operations (for example: noise from weapons
firing ranges and low-level aircraft overflights, vibration from tank maneuvers, dust, or odors).


## 6. Environmental Remediation Agreements

The following environmental orders/agreements are applicable to the property:

- Federal Facility Agreement (Septmeber 1989)
- RCRA permit (UMCD Permit \# OR6 213820 917)

All remediation activities on the Property required by the FFA are completed or in place and operating properly and successfully (See Section 4.1 Environmental Remediation Sites). The deed will include a provision reserving the Army's right to access the property to conduct additional remediation activities if those should prove to be necessary.

## 7. Regulatory/Public Coordination

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region 10, the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (ODEQ), and the public were notified of the initiation of this FOST. Regulatory/public comments received during the public comment period will be reviewed and incorporated, as appropriate. A copy of the regulatory/public comments and the Army response to those comments will be included at Enclosures 12 and 13.

## 8. National Environmental Policy act (NEPA) Compliance

The environmental impacts associated with the proposed transfer of the Property have been analyzed in accordance with the NEPA. The results of this analysis are documented in the Environmental Assessment (EA) dated August 2016, and the Finding of No Significant Impact (FNSI), dated 11 November 2022.

## 9. Finding of Suitability to Transfer

Based on the above information, I conclude that all removal or remedial actions necessary to protect human health and the environment have been taken and the Property is transferable under CERCLA section 120(h)(3). In addition, all Department of Defense requirements to reach a finding of suitability to transfer have been met, subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the attached Environmental Protection Provisions that shall be included in the deed for the Property. The deed will also include the CERCLA 120(h)(3) Notice, Covenant, and Access Provisions and Other Deed Provisions. Finally, the hazardous substance notification (Table 2) shall be included in the deed as required under the CERCLA Section 120(h) and DOD FOST Guidance.

BORMAN.AMY Digataly signed by
 .L. $1236610191 \begin{aligned} & \text { Date: } 2022.03 .3108: 59: 20 \\ & \text {-04000 }\end{aligned}$

Amy L. Borman
Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army
(Environment, Safety and Occupational Health)
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Map 2: Environmental Condition of Property Category Code Map


## MAP 3 EXHIBIT A

UMCD Maps Showing RCRA and CERCLA Sites


## MAP 3 EXHIBIT B

## Legal Description and Survey
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## LEGAL DESCRIPTION

A building situated on Tract 16 in Section 19, Township 4 North, Range 27
East, Willamette Meridian, Morrow County, Oregon described as follows:
COMMENCING at Camp Rees Monument No. 6 as delineated on Camp Rees License Boundary Survey, recorded 27 Aug 2014 in Umatilla County, thence south $51^{\circ} 47$ ' 57 " east, a distance of $6,002.90$ feet, more or less, to the southwest corner of said building and the POINT OF BEGINNING;

Thence north $89^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of 60.00 feet;
Thence north $00^{\circ} 34 \prime 28^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 302.00 feet;
Thence south $89^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 32$ " west, a distance of 60.00 feet;
Thence south $00^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of 302.00 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

## Containing $\mathbf{1 8 , 1 2 0 . 0 0}$ square feet, more or less.

This Legal Description is not based on an actual survey

By:



## LEGAL DESCRIPTION

A building situated on Tract 11 in Section 13, Township 4 North, Range 26
East, Willamette Meridian, Morrow County, Oregon described as follows:
COMMENCING at Camp Rees Monument No. 6 as delineated on Camp Rees License Boundary Survey, recorded 27 Aug 2014 in Umatilla County, thence south $72^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of $2,143.26$ feet, more or less, to the southwest corner of said building and the POINT OF BEGINNING;

Thence north $89^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of 181.00 feet;
Thence north $00^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 45$ " west, a distance of 482.50 feet;
Thence south $89^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 181.00 feet;
Thence south $00^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 45$ " east, a distance of 482.50 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

## Containing $\mathbf{8 7 , 3 3 2 . 5 0}$ square feet, more or less.

This Legal Description is not based on an actual survey

By:
JEF 28 May 2015 Mod: RAA 10 Dec 2019
Page 1 of 1
Doc:
Building No 203.docx



# Umatilla Chemical Depot 

Igloo Number 1735
Ptn. of Tr. A
"J" Block
0.008 Ac.

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION

A parcel of land and a building situated on Tract A, in Section 10, Township 4 North, Range 27 East, Willamette Meridian, Umatilla County, Oregon described as follows:

## Parcel:

COMMENCING at Camp Rees Monument No. 24 as delineated on Camp Rees License Boundary Survey, recorded 27 Aug 2014 in Umatilla County, thence south $09^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of $3,325.02$ feet, more or less, to point "A" and the POINT OF BEGINNING;

Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 6.00 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 61.50 feet;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 6.00 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 61.50 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.;
Containing 0.008 acres, more or less.

## Building:

BEGINNING at point " A " as mentioned above;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 3.75 feet;
Thence south $72^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 10.991 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 2.00 feet;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 75.167 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 36.50 feet;
Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 75.167 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 2.00 feet;
Thence north $72^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 05$ " west, a distance of 10.991 feet;
Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 3.75 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 61.50 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

## Containing $\mathbf{3 , 1 3 9 . 9 5 8}$ square feet, more or less.

This Legal Description is not based on an actual survey and the presumption is that the northern most face of the building runs east to west.
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# Umatilla Chemical Depot 

Igloo Number 1736
"J" Block
Ptn. of Tr. A
0.008 Ac.

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION

A parcel of land and a building situated on Tract A, in Section 10, Township 4 North, Range 27 East, Willamette Meridian, Umatilla County, Oregon described as follows:

## Parcel:

COMMENCING at Camp Rees Monument No. 24 as delineated on Camp Rees License Boundary Survey, recorded 27 Aug 2014 in Umatilla County, thence south $17^{\circ}$ O3' east, a distance of $3,418.64$ feet, more or less, to point "A" and the POINT OF BEGINNING;

Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 6.00 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 61.50 feet;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 6.00 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 61.50 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.;
Containing 0.008 acres, more or less.

## Building:

BEGINNING at point " A " as mentioned above;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 3.75 feet;
Thence south $72^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 10.991 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 2.00 feet;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 75.167 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 36.50 feet;
Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 75.167 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 2.00 feet;
Thence north $72^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 05$ " west, a distance of 10.991 feet;
Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 3.75 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 61.50 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

## Containing $\mathbf{3 , 1 3 9 . 9 5 8}$ square feet, more or less.

This Legal Description is not based on an actual survey and the presumption is that the northern most face of the building runs east to west.
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# Umatilla Chemical Depot 

Igloo Number 1750
"J" Block
Ptn. of Tr. A
0.008 Ac.

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION

A parcel of land and a building situated on Tract A, in Section 10, Township 4 North, Range 27 East, Willamette Meridian, Umatilla County, Oregon described as follows:

## Parcel:

COMMENCING at Camp Rees Monument No. 24 as delineated on Camp Rees License Boundary Survey, recorded 27 Aug 2014 in Umatilla County, thence south $07^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of $2,858.47$ feet, more or less, to point "A" and the POINT OF BEGINNING;

Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 6.00 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 61.50 feet;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 6.00 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 61.50 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.;
Containing 0.008 acres, more or less.

## Building:

BEGINNING at point " A " as mentioned above;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 3.75 feet;
Thence south $72^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 10.991 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 2.00 feet;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 75.167 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 36.50 feet;
Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 75.167 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 2.00 feet;
Thence north $72^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 05$ " west, a distance of 10.991 feet;
Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 3.75 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 61.50 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

## Containing $\mathbf{3 , 1 3 9 . 9 5 8}$ square feet, more or less.

This Legal Description is not based on an actual survey and the presumption is that the northern most face of the building runs east to west.
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# Umatilla Chemical Depot 

Igloo Number 1751
"J" Block
Ptn. of Tr. A
0.008 Ac.

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION

A parcel of land and a building situated on Tract A, in Section 10, Township 4 North, Range 27 East, Willamette Meridian, Umatilla County, Oregon described as follows:

## Parcel:

COMMENCING at Camp Rees Monument No. 24 as delineated on Camp Rees License Boundary Survey, recorded 27 Aug 2014 in Umatilla County, thence south $15^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of $2,935.56$ feet, more or less, to point "A" and the POINT OF BEGINNING;

Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 6.00 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 61.50 feet;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 6.00 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 61.50 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.;
Containing 0.008 acres, more or less.

## Building:

BEGINNING at point " A " as mentioned above;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 3.75 feet;
Thence south $72^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 10.991 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 2.00 feet;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 75.167 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 36.50 feet;
Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 75.167 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 2.00 feet;
Thence north $72^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 10.991 feet;
Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 3.75 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 61.50 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

## Containing $\mathbf{3 , 1 3 9 . 9 5 8}$ square feet, more or less.

This Legal Description is not based on an actual survey and the presumption is that the northern most face of the building runs east to west.

By:
Doc:
Igloo_1751.docx
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# Umatilla Chemical Depot 

Igloo Number 1752
"J" Block
Ptn. of Tr. A
0.008 Ac.

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION

A parcel of land and a building situated on Tract A, in Section 10, Township 4 North, Range 27 East, Willamette Meridian, Umatilla County, Oregon described as follows:

## Parcel:

COMMENCING at Camp Rees Monument No. 24 as delineated on Camp Rees License Boundary Survey, recorded 27 Aug 2014 in Umatilla County, thence south $23^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of $3,072.97$ feet, more or less, to point "A" and the POINT OF BEGINNING;

Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 6.00 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 61.50 feet;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 6.00 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 61.50 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.;
Containing 0.008 acres, more or less.

## Building:

BEGINNING at point " A " as mentioned above;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 3.75 feet;
Thence south $72^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 10.991 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 2.00 feet;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 75.167 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 36.50 feet;
Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 75.167 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 2.00 feet;
Thence north $72^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 05$ " west, a distance of 10.991 feet;
Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 3.75 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 61.50 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

## Containing $\mathbf{3 , 1 3 9 . 9 5 8}$ square feet, more or less.

This Legal Description is not based on an actual survey and the presumption is that the northern most face of the building runs east to west.
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# Umatilla Chemical Depot 

Igloo Number 1765
Ptn. of Tr. A
"J" Block
0.008 Ac.

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION

A parcel of land and a building situated on Tract A, in Section 10, Township 4 North, Range 27 East, Willamette Meridian, Umatilla County, Oregon described as follows:

## Parcel:

COMMENCING at Camp Rees Monument No. 24 as delineated on Camp Rees License Boundary Survey, recorded 27 Aug 2014 in Umatilla County, thence south $03^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 41^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of $2,402.45$ feet, more or less, to point "A" and the POINT OF BEGINNING;

Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 6.00 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 61.50 feet;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 6.00 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 61.50 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.;
Containing 0.008 acres, more or less.

## Building:

BEGINNING at point " A " as mentioned above;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 3.75 feet;
Thence south $72^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 10.991 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 2.00 feet;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 75.167 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 36.50 feet;
Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 75.167 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 2.00 feet;
Thence north $72^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 05$ " west, a distance of 10.991 feet;
Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 3.75 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 61.50 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

## Containing $\mathbf{3 , 1 3 9 . 9 5 8}$ square feet, more or less.

This Legal Description is not based on an actual survey and the presumption is that the northern most face of the building runs east to west.

By:
Doc:
Igloo_1765.docx
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# Umatilla Chemical Depot 

Igloo Number 1766
Ptn. of Tr. A
"J" Block
0.008 Ac.

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION

A parcel of land and a building situated on Tract A, in Section 10, Township 4 North, Range 27 East, Willamette Meridian, Umatilla County, Oregon described as follows:

## Parcel:

COMMENCING at Camp Rees Monument No. 24 as delineated on Camp Rees License Boundary Survey, recorded 27 Aug 2014 in Umatilla County, thence south $13^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of $2,458.47$ feet, more or less, to point " A " and the POINT OF BEGINNING;

Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 6.00 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 61.50 feet;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 6.00 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 61.50 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.;
Containing 0.008 acres, more or less.

## Building:

BEGINNING at point " A " as mentioned above;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 3.75 feet;
Thence south $72^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 10.991 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 2.00 feet;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 75.167 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 36.50 feet;
Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 75.167 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 2.00 feet;
Thence north $72^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 10.991 feet;
Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 3.75 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 61.50 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

## Containing $\mathbf{3 , 1 3 9 . 9 5 8}$ square feet, more or less.

This Legal Description is not based on an actual survey and the presumption is that the northern most face of the building runs east to west.

By:
Doc:
Igloo_1766.docx
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# Umatilla Chemical Depot 

Igloo Number 1767
"J" Block
Ptn. of Tr. A
0.008 Ac.

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION

A parcel of land and a building situated on Tract A, in Section 10, Township 4 North, Range 27 East, Willamette Meridian, Umatilla County, Oregon described as follows:

## Parcel:

COMMENCING at Camp Rees Monument No. 24 as delineated on Camp Rees License Boundary Survey, recorded 27 Aug 2014 in Umatilla County, thence south $22^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 54$ " east, a distance of $2,584.88$ feet, more or less, to point "A" and the POINT OF BEGINNING;

Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 6.00 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 61.50 feet;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 6.00 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 61.50 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.;
Containing 0.008 acres, more or less.

## Building:

BEGINNING at point " A " as mentioned above;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 3.75 feet;
Thence south $72^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 10.991 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 2.00 feet;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 75.167 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 36.50 feet;
Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 75.167 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 2.00 feet;
Thence north $72^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 05$ " west, a distance of 10.991 feet;
Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 3.75 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 61.50 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

## Containing $\mathbf{3 , 1 3 9 . 9 5 8}$ square feet, more or less.

This Legal Description is not based on an actual survey and the presumption is that the northern most face of the building runs east to west.

By:
Doc:
Igloo_1767.docx


# Umatilla Chemical Depot 

Igloo Number 1780
"J" Block
Ptn. of Tr. A
0.008 Ac.

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION

A parcel of land and a building situated on Tract A, in Section 10, Township 4 North, Range 27 East, Willamette Meridian, Umatilla County, Oregon described as follows:

## Parcel:

COMMENCING at Camp Rees Monument No. 24 as delineated on Camp Rees License Boundary Survey, recorded 27 Aug 2014 in Umatilla County, thence south $09^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of $1,984.42$ feet, more or less, to point "A" and the POINT F BEGINNING;

Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 6.00 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 61.50 feet;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 6.00 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 61.50 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.;
Containing 0.008 acres, more or less.

## Building:

BEGINNING at point " A " as mentioned above;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 3.75 feet;
Thence south $72^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 10.991 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 2.00 feet;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 75.167 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 36.50 feet;
Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 75.167 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 2.00 feet;
Thence north $72^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 10.991 feet;
Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 3.75 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 61.50 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

## Containing $\mathbf{3 , 1 3 9 . 9 5 8}$ square feet, more or less.

This Legal Description is not based on an actual survey and the presumption is that the northern most face of the building runs east to west.


# Umatilla Chemical Depot 

Igloo Number 1781
"J" Block
Ptn. of Tr. A
0.008 Ac.

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION

A parcel of land and a building situated on Tract A, in Section 10, Township 4 North, Range 27 East, Willamette Meridian, Umatilla County, Oregon described as follows:

## Parcel:

COMMENCING at Camp Rees Monument No. 24 as delineated on Camp Rees License Boundary Survey, recorded 27 Aug 2014 in Umatilla County, thence south $21^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of $2,095.23$ feet, more or less, to point "A" and the POINT OF BEGINNING;

Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 6.00 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 61.50 feet;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 6.00 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 61.50 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.;
Containing 0.008 acres, more or less.

## Building:

BEGINNING at point " A " as mentioned above;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 3.75 feet;
Thence south $72^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 10.991 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 2.00 feet;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 75.167 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 36.50 feet;
Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 75.167 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 2.00 feet;
Thence north $72^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 10.991 feet;
Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 3.75 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 61.50 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

## Containing $\mathbf{3 , 1 3 9 . 9 5 8}$ square feet, more or less.

This Legal Description is not based on an actual survey and the presumption is that the northern most face of the building runs east to west.



Path: O:ITR\cadastra\Org Projects 1 Military\UMADA - UMATILLA\Tasks\BIdg Legals\Legal DescriptionslIgloo Legals $\backslash$ Blockligloo.mxd

# Umatilla Chemical Depot 

Igloo Number 1782
"J" Block
Ptn. of Tr. A
0.008 Ac.

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION

A parcel of land and a building situated on Tract A, in Section 10, Township 4 North, Range 27 East, Willamette Meridian, Umatilla County, Oregon described as follows:

## Parcel:

COMMENCING at Camp Rees Monument No. 24 as delineated on Camp Rees License Boundary Survey, recorded 27 Aug 2014 in Umatilla County, thence south $31^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of $2,282.92$ feet, more or less, to point "A" and the POINT OF BEGINNING;

Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 6.00 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 61.50 feet;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 6.00 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 61.50 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.;
Containing 0.008 acres, more or less.

## Building:

BEGINNING at point " A " as mentioned above;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 3.75 feet;
Thence south $72^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 10.991 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 2.00 feet;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 75.167 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 36.50 feet;
Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 75.167 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 2.00 feet;
Thence north $72^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 05$ " west, a distance of 10.991 feet;
Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 3.75 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 61.50 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

## Containing $\mathbf{3 , 1 3 9 . 9 5 8}$ square feet, more or less.

This Legal Description is not based on an actual survey and the presumption is that the northern most face of the building runs east to west.



Path: O:ITR\cadastra\OrgProjects \Military\UMADA - UMATILLA\Tasks\BIdg Legals\Legal DescriptionslIgloo Legals $\backslash$ Blockligloo.mxd

# Umatilla Chemical Depot 

Igloo Number 1808
Ptn. of Tr. A
"J" Block
0.008 Ac.

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION

A parcel of land and a building situated on Tract A, in Section 10, Township 4 North, Range 27 East, Willamette Meridian, Umatilla County, Oregon described as follows:

## Parcel:

COMMENCING at Camp Rees Monument No. 24 as delineated on Camp Rees License Boundary Survey, recorded 27 Aug 2014 in Umatilla County, thence south $16^{\circ} 52^{\prime 2} 2$ " east, a distance of $1,119.49$ feet, more or less, to point "A" and the POINT OF BEGINNING;

Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 6.00 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 61.50 feet;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 6.00 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 61.50 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.;
Containing 0.008 acres, more or less.

## Building:

BEGINNING at point " A " as mentioned above;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 3.75 feet;
Thence south $72^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 10.991 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 2.00 feet;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 75.167 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 36.50 feet;
Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 75.167 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 2.00 feet;
Thence north $72^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 05$ " west, a distance of 10.991 feet;
Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 3.75 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 61.50 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

## Containing $\mathbf{3 , 1 3 9 . 9 5 8}$ square feet, more or less.

This Legal Description is not based on an actual survey and the presumption is that the northern most face of the building runs east to west.

By:
Doc:
Igloo_1808.docx



Path: O:ITR\cadastra\OrgProjects \Military\UMADA - UMATILLA\Tasks\BIdg Legals\Legal DescriptionslIgloo Legals $\backslash$ BlocklIgloo.mxd

# Umatilla Chemical Depot 

Igloo Number 1809
"J" Block
Ptn. of Tr. A
0.008 Ac.

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION

A parcel of land and a building situated on Tract A, in Section 10, Township 4 North, Range 27 East, Willamette Meridian, Umatilla County, Oregon described as follows:

## Parcel:

COMMENCING at Camp Rees Monument No. 24 as delineated on Camp Rees License Boundary Survey, recorded 27 Aug 2014 in Umatilla County, thence south $35^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of $1,305.47$ feet, more or less, to point "A" and the POINT OF BEGINNING;

Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 6.00 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 61.50 feet;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 6.00 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 61.50 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.;
Containing 0.008 acres, more or less.

## Building:

BEGINNING at point " A " as mentioned above;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 3.75 feet;
Thence south $72^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 10.991 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 2.00 feet;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 75.167 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 36.50 feet;
Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 75.167 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 2.00 feet;
Thence north $72^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 05$ " west, a distance of 10.991 feet;
Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 3.75 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 61.50 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

## Containing $\mathbf{3 , 1 3 9 . 9 5 8}$ square feet, more or less.

This Legal Description is not based on an actual survey and the presumption is that the northern most face of the building runs east to west.


# Umatilla Chemical Depot 

Igloo Number 1810
"J" Block
Ptn. of Tr. A
0.008 Ac.

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION

A parcel of land and a building situated on Tract A, in Section 10, Township 4 North, Range 27 East, Willamette Meridian, Umatilla County, Oregon described as follows:

## Parcel:

COMMENCING at Camp Rees Monument No. 24 as delineated on Camp Rees License Boundary Survey, recorded 27 Aug 2014 in Umatilla County, thence south $48^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of $1,591.29$ feet, more or less, to point "A" and the POINT OF BEGINNING;

Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 6.00 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 61.50 feet;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 6.00 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 61.50 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.;
Containing 0.008 acres, more or less.

## Building:

BEGINNING at point " A " as mentioned above;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 3.75 feet;
Thence south $72^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 10.991 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 2.00 feet;
Thence south $00^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 75.167 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 36.50 feet;
Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 75.167 feet;
Thence south $90^{\circ}$ west, a distance of 2.00 feet;
Thence north $72^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 05$ " west, a distance of 10.991 feet;
Thence north $00^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 3.75 feet;
Thence north $90^{\circ}$ east, a distance of 61.50 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

## Containing $\mathbf{3 , 1 3 9 . 9 5 8}$ square feet, more or less.

This Legal Description is not based on an actual survey and the presumption is that the northern most face of the building runs east to west.



## LEGAL DESCRIPTION

A parcel of land lying in a portion Sections 2, 3 and 10, Township 4 North, Range 27 East, Willamette Meridian, Umatilla County, Oregon, more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the northwest corner of Section 27, Township 4 North, Range 27 East, W.M., marked with a Umatilla County brass cap located at coordinate N 781948.21 , E 8473748.49; thence N09 $20^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ a distance of $16,287.17$ feet to "Mon. 1 ", and the true Point of Beginning;

Thence $\mathrm{NOO}^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 32$ " E a distance of 379.97 feet to "Mon No. 20 " as referenced in survey for Oregon Military Dept titled "Camp Rees License Boundary" by Ferguson Surveying;

Thence $\mathrm{NOO}^{\circ} 42$ ' 18 " W a distance of $1,496.92$ feet to "Mon 2 AP";
Thence $\mathrm{N} 89^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 12^{\prime}$ "E a distance of 83.84 ft . to "Mon 3 PC" and the start of a $1,593.70 \mathrm{ft}$ radius curve to the right;

Thence along said $1,593.70 \mathrm{ft}$. radius curve right, 850.41 ft . (the long chord of which bears $\mathrm{N} 75^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 35$ " $\mathrm{W}, 840.36 \mathrm{ft}$.) to "Mon 4 PT";

Thence $\mathbf{S} 60^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ a distance of $2,216.12$ feet to "Mon 5 AP ";
Thence $\mathrm{S} 25^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 23^{\prime}$ "W a distance of $4,609.50$ feet to "Mon 6 PC" and the start of a 637.85 ft radius curve to the left;

Thence along said 637.85 ft . radius curve left, 266.74 ft . (the long chord of which bears $\mathrm{S} 16^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 264.80 \mathrm{ft}$.) to "Mon 7 PRC" and the start of a 195.00 ft . radius curve to the right;

Thence along said 195.00 ft . radius curve right, 126.41 ft . (the long chord of which bears $\mathrm{N} 23^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 124.20 \mathrm{ft}$.) to "Mon 8 AP " and the start of a 322.50 ft . radius concave curve to the right;

Thence along said 322.50 ft . radius concave curve right, 175.81 ft . (the long chord of which bears $\mathrm{S}^{\circ} 6^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 40$ " $\mathrm{E}, 173.64 \mathrm{ft}$.) to "Mon 9 PT "

Thence $\mathrm{S} 89^{\circ} 13$ ' 23 "W a distance of 60.00 feet to "Mon 10 PT" and the start of a 262.50 ft . concave curve to the left;

Thence along said 262.50 ft . radius curve left, 226.32 ft . (the long chord of which bears $\mathrm{N} 25^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 37$ " $\mathrm{W}, 219.38 \mathrm{ft}$.) to "Mon 11 PRC " and the start of a 311.50 ft . radius curve to the right;

Thence along said 311.50 ft . radius curve right, 315.70 ft . (the long chord of which bears $\mathrm{S} 21^{\circ} 08$ '34"E, 302.36 ft .) to "Mon 12 PC ";

Thence NO7 ${ }^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 12$ "E a distance of 272.60 feet to "Mon 13 PT" and the start of a $1,076.85 \mathrm{ft}$. curve to the left;

Thence along said $1,076.85 \mathrm{ft}$. radius curve left, 871.02 ft . (the long chord of which bears $\mathrm{S} 15^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 48$ " $\mathrm{E}, 847.47 \mathrm{ft}$.) to "Mon 14 PC ";

Thence $\mathrm{S} 38^{\circ} 13$ ' 25 "E a distance of $1,010.84$ feet to "Mon 15 PT" and the start of a 150.00 ft . curve to the left;

Thence along said 150.00 ft . radius curve left, 137.35 ft . (the long chord of which bears N64 ${ }^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 23^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 132.61 \mathrm{ft}$.) to "Mon 16 PC";

Thence $\mathrm{S} 89^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 39^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ a distance of $1,114.36$ feet to "Mon 17 AP ";
Thence $\mathrm{NOO}^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 39^{\prime}$ "W a distance of $1,701.32$ feet to "Mon 18 ";
Thence N89 ${ }^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 56$ " W a distance of $1,608.23$ feet to "Mon 1 " and the true Point of Beginning.

The above described tract containing 276.57 Acres, more or less.
The above legal is based on an unrecorded survey by H\&S Construction Site Surveyors dated 12 Nov 2013.

By: JEF 20 Aug 2014
Page 2 of 2
Chkd: OJV 20 Aug 2014
Mod: JEF 27 Aug 2014 (Inverted Call)
Map: Industrial Area (Demil Plant).mxd
GIS: OrgProjects $\backslash$ Military $\backslash$ UMADA - UMATILLA $\backslash$ Tasks $\backslash H$ \& S Survey
Doc: 002362.docx

## MAP 3 EXHIBIT C

## Legal Description and Survey

 of Site UMAD-0034Closed Active Landfill Operable Unit

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION

The preceding legal descriptions are based on a surveys done by Ferguson Survey $\&$ Engineering for the Oregon Military Department, titled "Camp Rees License Boundary". Filed in both Morrow County as Map of Survey \#2014-1712C and in Umatilla County as Map of Survey \#14-119-B, and a survey done for Columbia Development Authority, titled "Umatilla Depot Survey". Filed in both Morrow County as Map of Survey \#2016-1752D and in Umatilla County as Map of Survey \#16-018-C:

A tract of land situated in Sections $2 \& 11$ Township 4 North, Range 27 East, Willamette Meridian, Umatilla County, Oregon, more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the southeast corner of said section 2, as identified on said surveys, said point having a coordinate value of 797988.37 North, 8484118.85 East; thence south $88^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 37$ " west, a distance of 532.78 feet to the Point of Beginning;

Thence north $18^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 57$ " west, a distance of 719.89 feet;
Thence south $71^{\circ} 03$ '03" west, a distance of $1,000.00$ feet;
Thence south $18^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 57$ " east, a distance of 642.03 feet;
Thence north $89^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of 505.97 feet;

Thence north $71^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of 519.33 feet;
Thence north $18^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 80.11 feet to the Point of Beginning.
Contains 17.49 Acres, more or less.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { By } & \text { JEF 1 FEB 2017 } \\ \text { Chkd: } & \text { OJV 1 FEB 2017 } \\ \text { Loc: } & \text { \\UMADA - UMATILLA } \backslash \backslash \text { Tasks } \backslash \text { Site } 39 \text { \& Landfill } \\ \text { Map: } & \text { Landfill (NAD83).mxd } \\ \text { Doc: } & \text { 002461.docx }\end{array}$

## UMATILLA CHEMICAL DEPOT LANDFILL SITE



## MAP 3 EXHIBIT D

## Legal Description and Survey

 of Site UMAD-0039Quality Assurance Function Range Operable Unit

The preceding legal description is based on a unrecorded survey done by Tenneson Engineering Corp., titled "Grid Staking in site \#39, Umatilla Army Chemical Depot".

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION

A parcel of land in the southeast $1 / 4$ of section 34 , the south $1 / 2$ Section 35 , and the southwest $1 / 4$ of section 36, Township 5 North, Range 27 East, Willamette Meridian, in Umatilla County, Oregon. Described as follows:

Beginning at the east quarter corner of said Section 34, Thence north $89^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 30$ " east a distance of $5,278.83$ feet; Thence north $89^{\circ} 17$ '01" east a distance of $2,626.26$ feet; Thence south $00^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime}$ east a distance of 2,625.64 feet; Thence south $89^{\circ} 17 \prime 51$ " west a distance of $2,615.53$ feet; Thence south $89^{\circ} 17$ ' 51 " west a distance of $2,615.53$ feet; Thence south $89^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 01$ " west a distance of $2,648.71$ feet; Thence south $89^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ west a distance of $2,649.97$ feet; Thence north $01^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 00$ " west a distance of $2,629.24$ feet;
Thence north $89^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime}$ east a distance of $2,650.56$ feet, to the Point of Beginning.

Containing a total of $\mathbf{6 3 5 . 6 8}$ acres, more or less.
Although information from Land Surveys may have been used in the creation of this document, in no way does this document represent or constitute a Land Survey.

By:
OJV 20 Nov 2018
Page 1 of 1
Checked: JEF 20 Nov 2018
MAP: $\backslash \backslash$ UMADA - UMATILLA $\backslash$ Tasks $\backslash$ Site 39 \& Landfill
DWG: Site 39-Full2.mxd
Doc: 002501.docx


Umatilla Chemical Depot<br>Site 39 - Land Use Controls

( $\pm 259$ acres)

The preceding legal description is based on a unrecorded survey done by Tenneson Engineering Corp., titled "Grid Staking in site \#39, Umatilla Army Chemical Depot":

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION

A parcel of land in Township 5 North, Range 27 East, Willamette Meridian, in Umatilla County, Oregon. Described as follows:

The southeast quarter ( $\mathrm{SE}^{1 / 4}$ ) and the east 1,070 feet of the southwest quarter (SW1/4) of Section 35.

Together with the west 600 feet of the southwest quarter (SW ${ }^{1 / 4}$ ) of Section 36.

Containing a total 259 acres, more or less.
Although information from Land Surveys may have been used in the creation of this document, in no way does this document represent or constitute a Land Survey.

By:

## UMATILLA CHEMICAL DEPOT SITE 39 LAND USE CONTROLS



Legend

| Site 39 LUC | Qtr Section |
| :---: | :--- |
| Site 39 Boundary | $=-$ Township |
| Project_Boundary | 16th |
| Section | Gov't Lots |

[^0]The preceding legal description is based on a unrecorded survey done by Tenneson Engineering Corp., titled "Grid Staking in site \#39, Umatilla Army Chemical Depot":

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION

A parcel of land in the southeast $1 / 4$ of Section 34 , the south $1 / 2$ Section 35, and the southwest $1 / 4$ of Section 36, Township 5 North, Range 27 East, Willamette Meridian, in Umatilla County, Oregon. Described as follows:

Beginning at the east quarter corner of said Section 34, Thence north $89^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ east a distance of $5,278.83$ feet; Thence north $89^{\circ} 17$ '01" east a distance of $2,626.26$ feet; Thence south $00^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 06$ " east a distance of $2,625.64$ feet; Thence south $89^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime}$ west a distance of $2,615.53$ feet; Thence south $89^{\circ} 17 \prime 51$ " west a distance of $2,615.53$ feet; Thence south $89^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 01$ " west a distance of $2,648.71$ feet; Thence south $89^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ west a distance of $2,649.97$ feet; Thence north $01^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 00$ " west a distance of $2,629.24$ feet; Thence north $89^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime}$ east a distance of $2,650.56$ feet, to the Point of Beginning.

## Excluding:

The southeast quarter ( $\mathrm{SE}^{1 / 4}$ ) and the east 1,070 feet of the southwest quarter ( $\mathrm{SW}^{1 / 4}$ ) of Section 35 and the west 600 feet of the southwest quarter (SW1/4) of Section 36.

## Containing a total of $\mathbf{3 7 6 . 6 8}$ acres, more or less.

Although information from Land Surveys may have been used in the creation of this document, in no way does this document represent or constitute a Land Survey.

By:
Checked: JEF 20 Nov 2018
MAP: $\backslash \backslash$ UMADA - UMATILLA $\backslash$ Tasks $\backslash$ Site 39 \& Landfill
DWG:
Adj-LUC-Site39.mxd
Doc: 002502.docx


## Legend

Site 39 Restriction: Agricultural and Limited Recreational Use Qtr Section
Site 39 Notice: Area Adjacent to Former MEC Testing
Site 39 Boundary
国
Project_Boundary
Gov't Lots

DISCLAIMER - While the United States Army Corps of Engineers,
(hereinatiter referred to USACE) has made a reasonable effort to (hereinatter referred to USACE) has made a reasonable effiort to
insure the accuracy of the maps and associated data, its should be
explicitly noted that USACE makes no warranty, representatation or explicitly noted that USACE makes no warranty, reperesentation or
guaranty, ether express or implied, as to the content, sequence,
accuracy accuracy, thimeliness or completeeness of any of the edata provided
herein. The USACE, its officers, agents, employees, or senvants herein. The USACE, its officers, agents, employees, or servants
shall assume no liability y any nature for any errors, omissions, or
inaccuracies in the intor inaccuracies nin the information provided reearardess of how caused
The USACEE its officers, agents. employees or servants shall
assume no liabiity for any decisions made or actions taken or not The USACE, its officers, agents, employees or servants shall
assume no liability for any deciisions made or actions taken or not
taken by the user of the maps and associated data in reliance taken by the user of the maps and associated data in reliance
upon any informaion or data turnished here. By using these maps
and associated datat the user does so entirely at their own tisk and
$\qquad$

of any nature whatsoever that may result from or may be caused in
any way by the use of the maps and associated data.
any way by the use of the maps and associated data.

## MAP 3 EXHIBIT E

Legal Description and Survey of Site UMAD-0047
Deactivation Furnace Operable Unit

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION

The preceding legal description is based on a surveys done by Ferguson Survey \& Engineering for the Oregon Military Department, titled "Camp Rees License Boundary". Filed in both Morrow County as Map of Survey \#2014-1712C and in Umatilla County as Map of Survey \#14-119-B, and a survey done for Columbia Development Authority, titled "Umatilla Depot Survey". Filed in both Morrow County as Map of Survey \#2016-1752D and in Umatilla County as Map of Survey \#16-018-C:

A tract of land situated in the NW $1 / 4$ of Section 19, Township 4 North, Range 27 East, Willamette Meridian, Morrow County, more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the northwest section corner of said section 19, thence south $85^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of 426.74 feet to the Point of Beginning.

Thence north $86^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 07{ }^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of 613.31 feet;
Thence south $03^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of 66.86 feet;
Thence north $86^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 077^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of 65.00 feet;
Thence south $03^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of 143.35 feet;
Thence south $86^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 077^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 65.00 feet;
Thence south $03^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of 271.30 feet;
Thence south $86^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 67.51 feet;
Thence south $03^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of 67.54 feet;
Thence south $86^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 65.56 feet;
Thence south $03^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of 67.45 feet;
Thence south $86^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 077^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 67.02 feet;
Thence south $03^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of 67.31 feet;
Thence south $86^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 077^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 66.34 feet;
Thence south $03^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of 68.48 feet;
Thence south $86^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 077^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 132.35 feet;
Thence south $03^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of 66.98 feet;
Thence south $86^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 147.70 feet;
Thence south $03^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of 65.00 feet;
Thence south $86^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 334.78 feet;
Thence north $03^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 65.00 feet;
Thence south $86^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 07$ " west, a distance of 65.00 feet;
Thence north $03^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 67.33 feet;
Thence south $86^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 07$ " west, a distance of 68.27 feet;
Thence north $03^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 417.70 feet;
Thence north $86^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 07{ }^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of 66.17 feet;
Thence north $03^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 65.46 feet;
Thence north $86^{\circ} 50$ '07" east, a distance of 132.37 feet;
Thence north $03^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 67.40 feet;

Doc: 002494.docx

Thence north $86^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of 138.82 feet; Thence north $03^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 135.07 feet; Thence north $86^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 077^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of 65.45 feet;
Thence north $03^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 66.31 feet to the Point of Beginning.
Contains 15.97 Acres, more or less.

| By | OJV 25 MAY 2018 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Chkd: | JEF 29 MAY 2018 |
| Mod: |  |
| Loc: | OrgProjects $\backslash$ Military $\backslash$ UMADA - UMATILLA $\backslash$ Tasks $\backslash$ - Land use controls |
|  | -\Deactivation Furnace |
| Map: | LUC Deactivation Furnace.mxd |
| Doc: | 002494.docx |

## UMATILLA CHEMICAL DEPOT

LUC DEACTIVATION FURNACE
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## MAP 3 EXHIBIT F

## Legal Description and Survey

 of Site UMAD-0024Explosives Washout Lagoon Groundwater Operable Unit

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION

The preceding legal descriptions are based on a surveys done by Ferguson Survey \& Engineering for the Oregon Military Department, titled "Camp Rees License Boundary". Filed in both Morrow County as Map of Survey \#2014-1712C and in Umatilla County as Map of Survey \#14-119-B, and a survey done for Columbia Development Authority, titled "Umatilla Depot Survey". Filed in both Morrow County as Map of Survey \#2016-1752D and in Umatilla County as Map of Survey \#16-018-C:

A tract of land situated in Sections 14 \& 15, Township 4 North, Range 27 East, Willamette Meridian, Umatilla County, more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at "Camp Rees Monument \#28", as identified on said surveys, having a coordinate value of 790591.37 North, 8477153.19 East;

Thence $\mathrm{SOO}^{\circ} 45$ '32.5"E, 3,033.303 ft. to "Camp Rees Monument \#29", and the beginning of a 600.00 ft . radius curve to the right;

Thence along said 600.00 ft . radius curve right, 471.831 ft . (the long chord of which bears S2146’09.2"W, 459.767 ft .) to "Camp Rees Monument \#30";

Thence $544^{\circ} 17$ '50.9"W, $1,489.323 \mathrm{ft}$. to "Camp Rees Monument \#31", and the beginning of a 500.00 ft . radius curve to the left;

Thence along said 500.00 ft . radius curve left, 150.33 ft . (the long chord of which bears S35 ${ }^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 04 " \mathrm{~W}, 149.76 \mathrm{ft}$.);

Thence north $88^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 54^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of 435.64 feet;
Thence north $89^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of $2,657.36$ feet;
Thence north $01^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of $1,331.48$ feet;
Thence north $89^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 19^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of $1,341.61$ feet;
Thence north $01^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of $1,339.08$ feet;
Thence north $89^{\circ} 077^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of $1,325.02$ feet;
Thence north $00^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of $2,646.34$ feet;
Thence south $89^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of $2,648.31$ feet;
Thence south $89^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of $1,819.60$ feet;
Thence south $00^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 18$ " east, a distance of 660.18 feet to "Camp Rees Monument
\#27"
Thence north $89^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 47$ " east, a distance of 60.00 feet to "Camp Rees Monument \#28" and the Point of Beginning.

Contains 437.34 Acres, more or less.

| By | JEF 18 MAR 2016 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Chkd: | OJV 18 MAR 2016 |
| Mod: | JEF 10 Mar 2017 (Replaced with entirely new area) |
| Loc: | OrgProjects $\backslash$ Military $\backslash$ UMADA - UMATILLA $\backslash$ Tasks $\backslash$ Groundplume |
| Map: | UCD - Groundwater Restriction Area CDA.mxd |
| Doc: | OO2405.docx |

## Groundwater Restriction Area - CDA

UMATILLA CHEMICAL DEPOT


## Legend
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## Enclosure 2
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## Enclosure 3

Table 1 DESCRIPTION of the PROPERTY

| Study Section | Study Site Name | Acres | ECP Parcel Designation | Cond Type* | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | Building 202 area | 2.0 | 18(3)HR | 3 | Asbestos on the ground around the building. Picked up in 2015 and the building was demolished in 2018. |
| II | Southwestern Warehouses (10 acres) <br> Site 69 Skunk Works Area | 10 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 19(3) HS, } \\ \text { HR, PS } \end{gathered}$ | 3 | Area of a cluster of sites for warehousing, railroad unloading, and stockpiling activities. Skunk Works reportedly was used for cleaning of copper and brass cartridges |
| II | Site 1 <br> Deactivation <br> Furnace (1.2 <br> acres) <br> Site 25I Metal <br> Ore Piles (3.3 <br> acres) <br> Site 26 Metal Ingot Stockpile (11.0 acres) Site 44 II Road Oil Application/Disp osal Building 210 (0.3 acres) | 15.8 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 20(4)HR, } \\ \text { HS, } \\ \text { PR,PS } \end{gathered}$ | 4 | Site 1: Located in the southwest corner of the property, it was used to deactivate small munitions. All remediation is complete and no further action required. LUCs were implemented based on the 2020 ESD. <br> Site 25I: Metal Ore Piles consisted of three piles of chromium ore located near the southern boundary of the KBlock igloos. <br> Site 26: The piles consisted of 6-foot-high stacks of aluminum, lead, and zinc ingots in direct contact with the soil. Recommended NFA. Site 44 II: spill of hardened road oil material, occupying approximately 100 square feet. |
| II | Building 100 Warehouses | 12.7 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 21(3) HR, } \\ \text { HS,PS } \end{gathered}$ | 3 | 100 area warehouses: Most of the 100 area warehouses have been demolished, thus removing all asbestos. |


| Study Section | Study Site Name | Acres | ECP Parcel <br> Designation | Cond Type* | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Site 35 <br> Malathion <br> Storage Leak Area <br> Site 37 Building <br> 131 Paint <br> Sludge <br> Discharge Area <br> Site 46 Railcar <br> Unloading Area <br> Site 68 UDMH <br> Operations Area <br> (Building 129) |  |  |  | Site 35: Leaking 5-gallon insecticide (malathion) containers was stored on the gravel to the north of Building 108 <br> Site 37: According to a former employee, a depression to the west of the building was used to collect paint sludge and solvents. A wooden conduit and metal pipe may have transferred paint sludge and waste material from Building 131: Soil samples were collected from the discharge area and metals and wooden conduits. Results recommended NFA. Site 46: Near the railroad tracks in the southwest portion of UMCD. It was used for coal or ore storage from at least 1949 to the early 1960s. Former employees also report that the area was used for unloading brass bullets. Soil samples were taken and indicate NFA. <br> Site 68: Building 129 was used to conduct stability tests of UDMH. Red Fuming Nitric Acid (RFNA) may also have been tested. Waste materials from the testing operations were drained through leadlined pipes into a lime pit. Soil sample results indicate NFA. |
| II | Building 101 and nearby UST | Acres above in | $\begin{gathered} 22(3) \mathrm{HR} \\ \text { PS } \end{gathered}$ | 3 | Asbestos siding that had fallen on the ground picked up in 2015 during a |


| Study Section | Study Site Name | Acres | ECP Parcel Designation | Cond Type* | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (acreage included above) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Bldg } \\ 100 \\ \text { area } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | housekeeping action. UST removed. |
| II | Site 81-1 Former <br> Raw Material <br> Storage <br> Locations and adjacent AST and <br> Radionuclides (RAD) air monitoring station. | 6.7 | 24(3)HR,PS | 3 | Site 81-1: These are areas in the southwestern warehouse area of UMCD where materials, such as coal and ore, were stored in direct contact with soils. Sample results recommended NFA. |
| III | Site 81-2 Former <br> Raw Material <br> Storage <br> Locations (2.0 <br> acres) <br> Site 66 Brass, Copper and Steel Storage Area (1.4 acres) | 3.4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 36(3)HR, } \\ \text { HS,PS } \end{gathered}$ | 3 | Site 81-2: Area in SE corner of Block H where raw materials were stored in direct contact with soils Site 66: This site is located near the railroad tracks south of Igloo H-Block. Copper, brass, and steel were stored at this site after being unloaded from the railcar NFA required. |
| IV | Site 10 Mustard Agent (HD) and Storage Area <br> Site 49: Drill and Transfer Site | 8.6 | 41(3)HR | 3 | Site 10: Mustard agent storage area, which was an open gravel strip of ground approximately $100 \mathrm{ft} \times 2,000-$ $3,000 \mathrm{ft}$, used to store 1 -ton containers of mustard blister agent. Soil sampling in the area indicated that remediation was not necessary. <br> Site 49: Leaking chemical munitions were drilled, emptied, and decontaminated at the site. Soil sampling in the area indicated that remediation was not necessary. |


| Study Section | Study Site Name | Acres | ECP Parcel Designation | Cond Type* | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V | Umatilla <br> Chemical <br> Disposal Facility <br> (UMCDF) | 63.2 | 42(4) HS, <br> PS | 4 | Chemical demilitarization facility site built, operated, and closed under RCRA |
| V | Site 51 Large Open Areas (vicinity of Coyote Coulee) (22.9 acres) Site 62 Paint and Solvent Disposal Area (1.3 acres) | 24.2 | 43(3)HR | 3 | Site 51: Northwest of Rim Road and the C-block igloos. The area has been used as a gravel borrow and storage. Sampling results indicated no action is necessary. <br> Site 62: This area is located along the Coyote Coulee north of Center Road. Paint and solvent waste generated from a building formerly located to the east was disposed here. Investigation indicated that no remedial action is necessary. |
| VIII | Site 43 Former Gas Station/ Possible UST Location (UST 59-62) (Central UMCD Grounds) | 4 | 44(2)PS/PR | 2 | Site 43: gasoline station was once located in the central portion of UMCD, at the intersection of Rim and Center Roads. |
| V | Explosive Washout Lagoons Groundwater Plume (CDA portion is 177.25 acres) | 177.2 | 45(4) HR | 4 | The explosive Washout Lagoon OU is located in the central portion of the Depot. The groundwater contamination plume was caused by disposal of munitions constituents. The plume extends into Parcel 1. All groundwater remediation activities within the CDA Parcel are in place and operating properly and successfully. The groundwater in this portion of the Property has not been remediated to levels suitable for unrestricted use, and will |


| Study Section | Study Site Name | Acres | ECP Parcel Designation | Cond Type* | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | transfer with LUCs to restrict groundwater extraction and use. |
| VII | Former USTs (94 \& 97) at bldg. 433 along Birch Road | 1.9 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 46(2) PS, } \\ \text { PR } \end{gathered}$ | 2 | USTs 94 \& 97 were both removed along with petroleum contaminated soil. Release of petroleum only. NFA. |
| VII | Building 421 | 0.03 | 47(3)HR | 3 | Was categorized for asbestos and radon. Cement asbestos shingles that had fallen to the ground were picked up in June 2017. NFA required. |
| VI | Site 50 Railroad Landfill Areas (CDA portion is 10 acres) | 10 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 52(3)HR, } \\ \text { PR } \end{gathered}$ | 3 | Site 50: two railroad landfills are located in the southcentral portion of UMCD, one located north of the railroad tracks and one south of the railroad classification yard Acreage for Parcel 1. |
| VIII | Former Airport UST | 1.5 | 53(2)PS/PR | 2 | Tank 96: 500 gal UST near the airport. Removed in 1992 |
| VIII | Former UST Southeast of Airport | 1.22 | 54(2)PS/PR | 2 | Tank 41: 1,000 gal. Removed in 1994 |
| VIII | Site 61 Open Paint Spray Areas | 21.7 | 55(3)HR | 3 | Site 61: Reportedly used in the 1950s and 1960s to conduct outdoor spraypainting. Soil sampling in the area indicated that no remediation is necessary. |
| VIII | Site 79 <br> Malathion Spray <br> Area | 2.2 | 56(3)HR | 3 | Located in the northeast corner of Igloo Block C, this area is reported to have been sprayed with the insecticide malathion during a commercial over-flight operation near UMCD. Soil sampling indicated that no presence of TCL pesticides or malathion. |


| Study Section | Study Site Name | Acres | ECP Parcel Designation | Cond Type* | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VIII | Site 34 Closed Active Landfill | 17.49 | 58(4)HR | 4 | The Active Landfill OU was closed in 1997. It was a permitted solid waste disposal area located on the northeast edges of the D-block igloos. The property will transfer with LUCs to restrict potential exposure to the landfill waste and protect the integrity of the cap. |
| VIII | Site 39 Quality <br> Assurance (QA) <br> Function Range | 259.0 | 60(4)HR | 4 | The QA Function Range is located on the northeast corner of UMCD and occupies 670 acres. The central portion of the site served as a rifle and pistol range. The southern portion of the site, served as a QA testing area for flares, photoflash grenades, and mines. Removal actions are complete and have received DDESB approval. The property was not remediated to levels suitable for unrestricted use, and will transfer with LUCs to prevent exposure to the remaining contamination. |
| VII | Site 44 I Road Oil Application/ Disposal Sites (CDA portion is 4 acres) | 4 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 79(3) \\ \text { HS,HR,PS, } \\ \text { PR } \end{gathered}$ | 3 | Area for road oil disposal and possible spills (mid-1950s through the mid-1960s), road oil and tar drum storage (late 1940s), oil changing activities including draining waste oil directly into the soil (late 1940s), and possible storage of transformers containing PCBs. Soil remediation was completed in 1997 with no further action required. |
| V | All J-Block Igloos (11.2) | 2 | 99(4)HS | 4 | J-Block igloos stored hazardous substances under a |


| Study <br> Section | Study Site <br> Name | Acres | ECP Parcel <br> Designation | Cond <br> Type* | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | acres; (CDA <br> portion is 2 <br> acres) |  |  |  | RCRA permit. Will transfer <br> with LUCs. |

Category 1: Areas where no release or disposal of hazardous substances or petroleum products has occurred. (including no migration of these substances from adjacent areas)
Category 2: Areas where only the release or disposal of petroleum products or their derivatives has occurred.
Category 3: Areas where release, disposal, and/or migration of hazardous substances has occurred, but at concentrations that do not require a removal or remedial response.
Category 4: Areas where release, disposal, and/or migration of hazardous substances has occurred, and all removal or remedial actions to protect human health and the environment have been taken.

NFA = No Further Action (required)
HS =Hazardous Substance Storage
HR = Hazardous Substance Release
PR = Petroleum Release
PS = Petroleum Storage

Enclosure 4
TABLE 2
NOTIFICATION OF HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE STORAGE, RELEASE OR DISPOSAL

| Building Number | Name of Hazardous Substance(s) | Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal | Remedial Actions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site 1: <br> Deactivation <br> Furnace | Located in the southwest corner of the property, it was used to deactivate small munitions. Closed per ROD in 1992. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Release } 1950 \text { - } \\ & 1988 \end{aligned}$ | Contaminated soils were treated and buildings and related structures were demolished and removed from the site. The property was not remediated to levels suitable for unrestricted use, and will transfer with LUCs to protect against residential use of any type, agricultural use of any type, child care facilities and recreational uses where children may be present, including playgrounds, and nursing home or assisted living facilities, and educational facilities for children/young adults in grades K through 12. |
| Site 24: Explosive Washout Lagoons (EWL) OU <br> Groundwater Plume | The lagoons were used as settling ponds for munitions operations. RDX and TNT leached into the groundwater. | Release: 1955 to 1965 | Lagoon area is west of CDA parcel, with the plume extending into Parcel 1. The property has not been remediated to levels suitable for unrestricted use, and will transfer with LUCs. <br> - No accessing groundwater <br> - No installation of wells or drilling into aquifer. <br> - No disturbance of associated wells. <br> - No residential use of any type, <br> - No agricultural use of any type, <br> - No child care facilities and recreational uses where children may be present, including playgrounds; |


| Building <br> Number | Name of Hazardous Substance(s) | Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal | Remedial Actions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | - No nursing home or assisted living facilities, <br> - No educational facilities for children/young adults in grades K through 12. |
| Site 10: Mustard Chemical Agent Open Storage Area. | Mustard Agent was stored in this area. There were reports from employees for possible leaks. | Storage/ <br> Possible <br> Release: Was used for storage from 1960s 1978. | Soil sampling indicated no contamination, so remediation was not required. |
| Site 34: Active Landfill, 17.49 acres in 50' depression. | Garbage, demolition debris, asbestos from brake linings, dried sludge from the sewage treatment plant, possibly ash from the Deactivation Furnace, and sludges that contained explosive residuals. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Disposal: } 1968 \text { - } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | Closed in 1997 IAW ODEQ solid waste regulations with groundwater monitoring (completed) and continuing LUCs: <br> - No driving on site <br> - No digging, shoveling, auguring, <br> - No disturbing signage <br> - No disturbing adjacent monitoring wells <br> - No extraction wells in adjacent area |
| Site 25 I \& II Metal Ore Piles | The Metal Ingot Stockpiles consisted of several lead and aluminum ingots stacked in piles in open-air storage areas. | Unknown | All remediation on the site is complete and the site deemed no further action (NFA) |
| Site 26 Metal Ingot Stockpile | Piles consisted of 6-foothigh stacks of aluminum, lead, and zinc ingots in direct contact with the soil. | Unknown | All remediation on site is complete and deemed NFA. |
| Site 35 Malathion Storage Leak Area | Former UMCD employees reported that a shipment of leaking 5gallon insecticide (malathion) containers was stored on the gravel | Release: late 1970s | Soil sampling indicated remediation was not required because no contamination was found. |


| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Building } \\ \text { Number }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Name of Hazardous } \\ \text { Substance(s) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Date of Storage, } \\ \text { Release, or } \\ \text { Disposal }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Remedial Actions }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\begin{array}{l}\text { to the north of Building } \\ 108 .\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{l}\text { None, contamination, if any, } \\ \text { was below action levels. }\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Site 37 Building } \\ \text { 131 Paint Sludge } \\ \text { Discharge Area }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { A depression to the west } \\ \text { of the building was used } \\ \text { to collect paint sludge } \\ \text { and solvents. }\end{array}$ | Unknown | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Rifle and pistol } \\ \text { munitions, flares, } \\ \text { photoflash grenades, and } \\ \text { mines }\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Site 39: QA } \\ \text { Function Range }\end{array}$ | 1945 to 1975 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { MEC was cleared to a depth of } \\ \text { two feet in the Rifle Range Area } \\ \text { (107 acres) and in the Test Pad } \\ \text { Area (68 acres), and to a depth } \\ \text { of six feet in the Test Pit Area } \\ \text { (1 acre). It included sifting the } \\ \text { soil to a depth of two feet in the } \\ \text { vicinity of three former QA } \\ \text { function test pads, where a high } \\ \text { density of geophysical } \\ \text { anomalies was found. }\end{array}$ |  |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { an a March 2011 memorandum }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { In a Mar } \\ \text { from the DDESB, the ESS } \\ \text { submission for NFA was } \\ \text { accepted and concluded }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| remedial actions at this site. |  |  |  |
| The property was not |  |  |  |
| remediated to levels suitable for |  |  |  |
| unrestricted use, and will |  |  |  |
| transfer with LUCs allowing |  |  |  |
| only Agricultural use, incidental |  |  |  |
| residential, which includes a |  |  |  |
| farm house, and barn and utility |  |  |  |
| buildings and limited |  |  |  |
| recreational use (e.g. hiking and |  |  |  |
| hunting. . |  |  |  |$\}$


| Building Number | Name of Hazardous Substance(s) | Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal | Remedial Actions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site 49: Drill and Transfer Site | Chemical Munitions were stored and managed here. Employees reported possible leaks. | Release:1984 | Soil sampling indicated no contamination, so remediation was not required. |
| Site 50 Railroad Landfill Areas | Low levels of oil and grease. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Release: } 1956 \text { - } \\ & 1970 \end{aligned}$ | None, contamination, if any, was below action levels. |
| Site 51: Large <br> Open Areas in the <br> Vicinity of Coyote Coulee | Gravel borrow pits had paint cans. | Release: Unknown, prior to 1997 | Soil sampling indicated no contamination, so remediation was not required. |
| Site 61: Outdoor Spray Paint Area | Spray Paint | Release: 1950s and 1960s | None, contamination, if any, was below action levels. |
| Site 62: Paint and Solvent Disposal Area | Paint and solvent waste | Release: Unknown, prior to 1997 | None, contamination, if any, was below action levels. |
| Site 66: Brass, Copper and Steel Storage Area | This site is located near the railroad tracks south of H-Block. Copper, brass, and steel were stored at this site after being unloaded from the railcar. | Release: <br> Unknown | None, contamination, if any, was below action levels. |
| Site 68: UDMH Operations Area (Building 129) | Used to conduct stability test of UDMH and, potentially, red fuming nitric acid. Waste materials from the testing operations were drained through lead-lined pipes into a lime pit. | Release: <br> Unknown | Soil sampling indicated no contamination, so remediation was not required. |
| Site 69: Skunk works Area | Located within the Deactivation Furnace and Southwestern Warehouse Area, this area was reported to be used for cleaning of copper and brass cartridges in hydrochloric acid baths and WEDAC | Release: <br> Unknown | None, contamination, if any, was below action levels. |


| Building Number | Name of Hazardous Substance(s) | Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal | Remedial Actions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (commercial cleaner) solutions, which were then either dumped at this site or burned at the ADA grounds. |  |  |
| Site 79, northeast corner of C-Block | Area is reported to have been sprayed with the insecticide malathion during a commercial over-flight operation near UMCD. | Release: from off-post. Prior to 1992. | Soil sampling indicated no contamination, so remediation was not required. |
| Site 81: I \& II <br> Former Raw Material Storage Locations and adjacent aboveground storage tank (AST) and RAD air monitoring station | This site is located on the south edge of the ADA and consists of two locations, I and II, where raw materials, possibly coal or ore, were stored. | Release: 1940s and 1950s. | None, contamination, if any, was below action levels. |
| J-Block Igloos, 1735, 1736, 1750, 1751, 1752, 1765, 1766, 1767, 1780, 1781, 1782, 1783, 1794, 1795, 1796, 1808, 1809, and 1810 <br> RCRA permitted CSF | Hydrochloric Acid Muriatic Acid, Isopropyl Alcohol, Paint Thinner, batteries, Sodium Hypochlorite Solution 3.23\%, Sodium Hydroxide, Sulfuric Acid, Acetic Acid, Calcium Hypochlorite (HTH). | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Storage: } 1962 \text { - } \\ & 2013 \end{aligned}$ | None Required. This was a RCRA storage area with no known releases. Property will transfer with LUCs to protect against residential use of any type, agricultural use of any type, child care facilities and recreational uses where children may be present, including playgrounds; and nursing home or assisted living facilities, and educational facilities for children/young adults in grades K through 12. |
| Building 115 Satellite Accumulation Area | Stored petroleum, oils, lubricants, flammables, and corrosives on spill pallets. It also housed an aerosol can puncturing unit. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Storage: } 1962 \text { - } \\ & 2013 \end{aligned}$ | None Required. This was a RCRA storage area with no known releases. Property will transfer with LUCs to protect against residential use of any type, agricultural use of any type, child care facilities and |


| Building Number | Name of Hazardous Substance(s) | Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal | Remedial Actions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | recreational uses where children may be present, including playgrounds; and nursing home or assisted living facilities, and educational facilities for children/young adults in grades K through 12. |
| Building 203 RCRA permitted CSF | For the Building 203 CSF, COCs are RCRA metals (including mercury), pesticides, organics, and PCBs. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Storage: } 1962 \text { - } \\ & 2013 \end{aligned}$ | None Required. This was a RCRA storage area with no known releases. Property will transfer with LUCs to protect against residential use of any type, agricultural use of any type, child care facilities and recreational uses where children may be present, including playgrounds; and nursing home or assisted living facilities, and educational facilities for children/young adults in grades K through 12. |
| UMCDF | This facility was built for the disposal of chemical agents, Mustard (HD), Serin (GB), and VX; The UMCDF facility was demolished per RCRA closure requirements. Other parts of the facility may have contained hazardous materials required for maintenance activities. There were satellite accumulation sites and a hazardous material and hazardous waste storage facility. | 1997-2015 | None required. This is a RCRA permitted Treatment, Storage and Disposal Facility (TSDF) being closed under RCRA; No release of a hazardous substance or petroleum product. Property will transfer with LUCs to protect against residential use of any type, agricultural use of any type, child care facilities and recreational uses where children may be present, including playgrounds; and nursing home or assisted living facilities, and educational facilities for children/young adults in grades K through 12. |
|  |  |  |  |


| Building <br> Number | Name of Hazardous <br> Substance(s) | Date of Storage, <br> Release, or <br> Disposal | Remedial Actions |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * The information contained in this notice is required under the authority of regulations promulgated |  |  |  |
| under section 120(h) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Liability, and Compensation |  |  |  |
| Act (CERCLA or 'Superfund') 42 U.S.C. $\S 9620(h)$. This table provides information on the storage <br> of hazardous substances for one year or more in quantities greater than or equal to 1,000 kilograms <br> or the hazardous substances' CERCLA reportable quantity (whichever is greater). In addition, it <br> provides information on the known release of hazardous substances in quantities greater than or <br> equal to the substances CERCLA reportable quantity. See 40 CFR Part 373. |  |  |  |

## Enclosure 5

TABLE 3
NOTIFICATION OF PETROLEUM PRODUCT STORAGE, RELEASE, OR DISPOSAL

| Building Number | Storage <br> Tank <br> (UST/AST) <br> Number | Name of <br> Petroleum <br> Product(s) | Date of Storage, <br> Release, or <br> Disposal | Remedial Actions |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Site 43 <br> Rim Road and <br> Center Road | $59-62$ | Gasoline | Approximately: <br> 1949 to prior to <br> 1958 | Twenty-five soil gas samples indicated trace concentrations of benzene, <br> toluene, xylene, and TVHCs. The report determined that these <br> concentrations do not represent a significant point source like a leaking <br> UST, but suggest minor surface spillage or the introduction of asphalt <br> constituents. Low concentrations indicated remediation was not <br> required. |
| Site 44 (I \& II) <br> Road Oil <br> Application/ <br> Disposal Sites | None | Road Oil | 1940 s - 1960s | Site 44 includes areas I and II. The first area reportedly experienced road <br> oil disposal and possible spills (mid-1950s through the mid-1960s), road <br> oil and tar drum storage (late 1940s), oil changing activities including <br> draining waste oil directly into the soil (late 1940s), and storage of 50 to <br> 60 transformers containing Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCB) <br> contaminated oil. The second area experienced a spill of hardened road <br> oil material, occupying approximately 100 square feet. No PCBs were <br> present and remediation of other contaminates was not required because <br> contamination, if any, was below action levels. No further action <br> required (NFA). |


| Building Number | Underground Storage Tank (UST) Number | Name of Petroleum Product(s) | Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal | Remedial Actions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 104 | 52 | Diesel | Unknown; Removed 1993 | NE corner of Building 104 (foundation); Confirmation soil samples analyzed for HCID. ND in all three samples. |
| 105 | 35 | Diesel | Unknown; Removed 1993 | North of northeast corner of building; ND in all three samples. |
| 106 | 36 | Diesel | Unknown; Removed 1993 | South of southwest corner of building. Confirmation soil samples were analyzed for TPH, EPA 8010 for halogenated solvents, Benzene, Toluene, Ethyl-benzene, Xylenes (BTEX) and total lead. Results show ND in all samples collected. |
| 113 | 99 | Unknown | Unknown | Geophysical and soil gas survey was conducted during the UST investigation. Results for the geophysical survey indicate UST unlikely present. Trace volatiles detected at 2 of the 20 soil gas samples collected. <br> Concentrations are not indicative of widespread contamination from a UST point source. |
| 115 | 37 | Heating oil | Unknown; Removed 1993 | East of 115. ND in both samples collected. |
| 116 | 32 | Unknown | Unknown; Removed 1995 | Petroleum Contaminated Soil (PCS) excavated during UST removal. ND in all confirmation samples. |
| 117 | 38 | Heating oil | Unknown; Removed 1993 | Northwest of Building 117. Confirmation soil samples were analyzed for TPH, EPA 8010 for halogenated solvents, BTEX and total lead. Results show ND in all samples collected. |
| 129 | 33 | Unknown | Unknown; Removed 1995 | PCS excavated during UST removal. ND in all confirmation samples. |


| Building Number | Under- <br> ground <br> Storage <br> Tank <br> (UST) <br> Number | Name of <br> Petroleum <br> Product(s) | Date of Storage, <br> Release, or <br> Disposal | Remedial Actions |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 130 | 40 | Diesel | Unknown; <br> Removed 1993 | West of Building 130; In one sample there was an initial HCID <br> detection, but ND upon fractionation; ND in remaining two samples. |
| 131 | 24 | Heating oil | Unknown; <br> Removed 1993 | East of building 131; ND in all three samples. |
| 133 | 59 | Gasoline | Unknown; <br> Removed 1993 | South of Building 133; ND in all eight samples. |
| 133 | 48 | Gasoline | Unknown; <br> Removed 1993 | SW of Building 133; Confirmation soil samples collected and analyzed <br> for HCID were ND. |
| 135 | 26 | Diesel | Unknown; <br> Removed 1993 | At SE corner of Building 135; ND in all three samples. <br> Inknown; <br> Present or <br> Removed |
| 154 | 27 | Diesel | Passed a tank leak test conducted during the 1995. According to <br> UMCD officials, these tanks are either inactive or removed. |  |
| 158 | 28 | Diesel | Unknown; <br> removed | Passed a tank leak test conducted during the 1995. According to <br> UMCD officials, these tanks are either inactive or removed. |
| 164 | 29 | Diesel | Unknown; <br> Inactive, Not <br> Present or <br> Removed | Passed a tank leak test conducted during the 1995. According to <br> UMCD officials, these tanks are either inactive or removed. |
| 168 | Unknown; |  |  |  |
| Inactive, Not |  |  |  |  |
| Present or |  |  |  |  |
| Removed |  |  |  |  |$\quad$| Passed a tank leak test conducted during the 1995. According to |
| :--- |
| UMCD officials, these tanks are either inactive or removed. |


| Building Number | Underground Storage Tank (UST) <br> Number | Name of <br> Petroleum <br> Product(s) | Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal | Remedial Actions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 160 | 47 | Gasoline | Unknown; Removed 1993 | At SW corner of Building 160; initial HCID detection of diesel range ND upon fractionation DRO analyses; ND in remaining samples. |
| 201 | 1 | Diesel | Unknown; Inactive, Not Present or Removed | Passed a tank leak test conducted during the 1995. No information on its current status was found. According to UMCD officials, this tank is either inactive or removed. |
| 206 | 87 | Unknown | Unknown; Removed | (Deactivation Furnace) Four of seven samples detected TPH below regulatory limits. No Further Action required. |
| 208 | 13 | Heating oil | Unknown; Removed 1995 | PCS excavated during UST removal. ND in all confirmation samples. |
| 433 | 25 | Heating oil | Unknown; Removed 1995 | PCS excavated during UST removal. ND in all confirmation samples. |
| 433 | 94 | Heating oil | Unknown; Removed 1995 | Blow-down vessel associated with a boiler system. The vessel and associated PCS was removed. <br> ND in all confirmation samples. |
| 433 | 97 | Heating Oil | Unknown; Removed 1995 | A former condensate "blow- down" tank, it was exposed at surface and installed vertically in the ground with no bottom.Visible oily soil and sludge were revealed in the excavation. 250 cubic yards of soil were removed. Results for excavation confirmation soil samples ORTPHHCID were ND. Excavation was backfilled with clean material. |


| Building Number | $\begin{gathered} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Under- } \\ \text { ground } \end{array} \\ \text { Storage } \\ \text { Tank } \\ \text { (UST) } \\ \text { Number } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Name of Petroleum Product(s) | Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal | Remedial Actions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 486 | 92 | Unknown | Unknown; Inactive, Not Present or Removed | NFA |
| Airport Runway Tank | N/A | Diesel | Unknown; | Former diesel tank; no field evidence of leak. Confirmation samples analyzed for TPH-g, EPA 8010 for halogenated solvents, BTEX, and EPA 7421 total lead. Low levels of lead detected in one sample, ND in remaining samples. |
| Former ASTs 5 and 6 along Juniper Road, SE of FBlock | $5 \& 6$ | Unknown | Unknown | Petroleum storage only. There was no release of a hazardous substance or petroleum product and the property can be identified as uncontaminated. |
| Airport | 41 | Heating oil or gasoline | Unknown; Removed 1992 | South of airstrip; conflicting accounts on whether this was a gasoline or a diesel tank. In 1992 during the tank removal, six samples collected and analyzed for TPH-g, BTEX and Lead. Three samples detected $\sim 50 \mathrm{ppm}$ TPH-g. Additional PCS was removed and the site was closed via Nov. 4, 1992 Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (ODEQ) letter. During the 2000 investigation Dames \& Moore identified the tank as former diesel tank, collected three samples and analyzed them for NW TPH-HCID. Results were ND. |


| Building Number | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Under- } \\ \text { ground } \\ \text { Storage } \\ \text { Tank } \\ \text { (UST) } \\ \text { Number } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Name of Petroleum Product(s) | Date of Storage Release, or Disposal | Remedial Actions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Airport | 96 | Unknown | Removed 1994 | Adjacent to the former airfield. No previous information was found in the records. It appeared to be associated with a water pressure tank. Odorless, colorless liquid was found in the tank, and was sampled for VOAs, Base-neutral and Acid Extractable Organics (BNA), metals, Pesticides, PCBs, TPH, and nitrate/nitrite. Results found elevated concentrations of iron, lead, and manganese. The tank was removed. |
| UMCDF (MDB; Bldg 383)Regulated | OIL-TANK101 | Diesel | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Storage: } 1999 \text { to } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ | Regulated (UST-BECCC) 4,000 gallon tank; removed. |
| UMCDF <br> (ECF; Bldg 380)- <br> Regulated | ECF- TANK101 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Storage: } 1999 \text { to } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ | Regulated (UST-BECCB) 600 gallon tank; removed. |

## Enclosure 6 <br> TABLE 4 <br> NOTIFICATION OF MUNITIONS AND EXPLOSIVES OF CONCERN (MEC)*

| Site | Type of MEC | Date of MEC Activity | Munitions Response Actions |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | \left\lvert\, \(\left.\begin{array}{lll}\begin{array}{l}Site 39: <br>

Quality <br>
Assurance <br>
QA)\end{array} \& $$
\begin{array}{l}\text { Rifle and } \\
\text { pistol } \\
\text { munitions, } \\
\text { flares, } \\
\text { photoflash } \\
\text { Range } \\
\text { grenades, and } \\
\text { mines }\end{array}
$$ \& 1945 to 1975\end{array} \quad $$
\begin{array}{l}\text { Clearance of MEC to a depth of two feet in } \\
\text { the Rifle Range Area (107 acres) and in } \\
\text { the Test Pad Area (68 acres), and to a } \\
\text { depth of six feet in the Test Pit Area (1 } \\
\text { acre). It included sifting the soil to a depth } \\
\text { of two feet in the vicinity of three former } \\
\text { QA function test pads, where a high } \\
\text { density of geophysical anomalies was } \\
\text { found. On 30 November 2010 U.S. Army } \\
\text { Corps of Engineer (USACE) Seattle, on } \\
\text { behalf of USACE Huntsville, submitted an } \\
\text { explosive safety submission for no further } \\
\text { action and at this operable unit to the } \\
\text { DDESB. On 16 March 2011, as stated in a } \\
\text { memorandum received from the DDESB, } \\
\text { the ESS was accepted and concluded } \\
\text { remedial actions at Study Site 39. }\end{array}
$$\right.\right]\)

## Enclosure 7

## CERCLA NOTICE, COVENANT, and ACCESS PROVISIONS and OTHER DEED PROVISIONS

The following CERCLA Notice, Covenant, and Access Provisions, along with the Other Deed Provisions, will be placed in the deed to ensure protection of human health and the environment and to preclude any interference with ongoing or completed remediation activities.
I. Property Covered by Notice, Description, Access Rights, and Covenants Made Pursuant to Section 120(h)(3)(A) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. Section 9620(h)(3)(A)):

For the Property, the Grantor provides the following notice, description, and covenants and retains the following access rights:
A. Notices Pursuant to Section 120(h)(3)(A)(i)(I) and (II)) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 ( 42 U.S.C. Section $9620(\mathrm{~h})(3)(\mathrm{A})(\mathrm{i})(\mathrm{I})$ and (II):

Pursuant to section 120(h)(3)(A)(i)(I) and (II) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. §9620(h)(3)(A)(i)(I) and (II)), available information regarding the type, quantity, and location of hazardous substances and the time at which such substances were stored, released, or disposed of, as defined in section 120(h), is provided in Exhibit $\qquad$ (Notification of Hazardous Substance Storage, Release or Disposal), attached hereto and made a part hereof.
B. Description of Remedial Action Taken, if Any, Pursuant to Section 120(h)(3)(A)(i)(III) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. § 9620(h)(3)(A)(i)(III)):

Pursuant to section 120(h)(3)(A)(i)(III) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. $\S 9620(\mathrm{~h})(3)(\mathrm{A})(\mathrm{i})(\mathrm{III})$ ), a description of the remedial action taken, if any, on the Property is provided in Exhibit ___(Notification of Hazardous Substance Storage, Release or Disposal), attached hereto and made a part hereof.
C. Covenant Pursuant to Section 120(h)(3)(A)(ii) and (B) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. § 9620(h)(3)(A)(ii) and (B)):

Pursuant to section 120(h)(3)(A)(ii) and (B) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. $\S 9620(\mathrm{~h})(3)(\mathrm{A})(\mathrm{ii})$ and (B)), the United States warrants that -
(a) All remedial action necessary to protect human health and the environment with respect to any hazardous substance identified pursuant to section 120(h)(3)(A)(i)(I) of the Comprehensive

Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 remaining on the property has been taken before the date of this deed, and
(b) Any additional remedial action found to be necessary after the date of this deed shall be conducted by the United States.

## D. Access Rights Pursuant to Section 120(h)(3)(A)(iii) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. § 9620(h)(3)(A)(iii)):

The United States retains and reserves a perpetual and assignable easement and right of access on, over, and through the Property, to enter upon the property in any case in which a remedial action or corrective action is found to be necessary on the part of the United States, without regard to whether such remedial action or corrective action is on the Property or on adjoining or nearby lands. Such easement and right of access includes, without limitation, the right to perform any environmental investigation, survey, monitoring, sampling, testing, drilling, boring, coring, test pitting, installing monitoring or pumping wells or other treatment facilities, response action, corrective action, or any other action necessary for the United States to meet its responsibilities under applicable laws and as provided for in this instrument. Such easement and right of access shall be binding on the Grantee and its successors and assigns and shall run with the land.

In exercising such easement and right of access, the United States shall provide the Grantee or its successors or assigns, as the case may be, with reasonable notice of its intent to enter upon the Property and exercise its rights under this clause, which notice may be severely curtailed or even eliminated in emergency situations. The United States shall use reasonable means to avoid and to minimize interference with the Grantee's and the Grantee's successors' and assigns' quiet enjoyment of the Property. At the completion of work, the work site shall be reasonably restored. Such easement and right of access includes the right to obtain and use utility services, including water, gas, electricity, sewer, and communications services available on the property at a reasonable charge to the United States. Excluding the reasonable charges for such utility services, no fee, charge, or compensation will be due the Grantee, nor its successors and assigns, for the exercise of the easement and right of access hereby retained and reserved by the United States.

In exercising such easement and right of access, neither the Grantee nor its successors and assigns, as the case may be, shall have any claim at law or equity against the United States or any officer or employee of the United States based on actions taken by the United States or its officers, employees, agents, contractors of any tier, or servants pursuant to and in accordance with this clause: Provided, however, that nothing in this paragraph shall be considered as a waiver by the grantee and its successors and assigns of any remedy available to them under the Federal Tort Claims Act.

## II. OTHER DEED PROVISIONS:

## A. "AS IS"

a. The Grantee acknowledges that it has inspected or has had the opportunity to inspect the Property and accepts the condition and state of repair of the Property. The Grantee understands and agrees that the Property is conveyed "AS IS" without any representation, warranty, or guaranty by the Grantor as to quantity, quality, title, character, condition, size, or kind, or that the same is in a suitable condition or fit to be used for the purposes intended by the Grantee, and no claim for allowance or deduction upon such grounds will be considered.
b. No warranties, either express or implied, are given with regard to the condition of the Property including, without limitation, whether the Property does or does not contain asbestos or lead-based paint. The Grantee shall be deemed to have relied solely on its own judgment in assessing the condition of the Property including, without limitation, any asbestos, lead-based paint, or other conditions on the Property. The failure of the Grantee to inspect or to exercise due diligence to be fully informed as to the condition of the Property will not constitute grounds for any claim or demand against the Grantor.
c. Nothing in this "As Is" provision will be construed to modify or negate the Grantor's obligation under the "Covenant Pursuant to Section 120(h)(3)(A)(ii) and (B) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. § 9620(h)(3)(A)(ii) and (B))" or any other statutory obligations.

## B. HOLD HARMLESS

a. To the extent authorized by law, the Grantee, its successors and assigns, covenant and agree to indemnify and hold harmless the Grantor, its officers, agents, and employees from (1) any and all claims, damages, judgments, losses, and costs, including fines and penalties, arising out of the violation of the notices, use restrictions, and restrictive covenants in this Deed by the Grantee, its successors and assigns, and (2) any and all any and all claims, damages, judgments, losses, and costs arising out of, or in any manner predicated upon, exposure to asbestos, lead-based paint, or other condition on any portion of the Property after the date of the conveyance herein.
b. The Grantee, for itself, its successors and assigns, covenants and agrees that the Grantor shall not be responsible for any costs associated with modification or termination of the notices, use restrictions, and restrictive covenants in this Deed including, without limitation, any costs associated with additional investigation or remediation of asbestos, lead-based paint, or other condition on the Property.
c. Nothing in this Hold Harmless provision will be construed to modify or negate the Grantor's obligation under the "Covenant Pursuant to Section 120(h)(3)(A)(ii) and (B) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. § 9620(h)(3)(A)(ii) and (B))" or any other statutory obligations.

## C. POST-TRANSFER DISCOVERY OF CONTAMINATION

If an actual or threatened release of a hazardous substance is discovered on the Property after the date of the conveyance herein, the Grantee, its successors or assigns shall be responsible for such release or threatened release of such newly discovered hazardous substance unless the Grantee, or its successors or assigns is able to demonstrate that such release or threatened release of a hazardous substance was due to the Grantor's activities, use, or ownership of the Property. If the Grantee or its successors or assigns believe the newly discovered hazardous substance is due to the Grantor's activities, use, or ownership of the Property, the Grantee or its successors or assigns shall immediately secure the site and notify the Grantor of the existence of the hazardous substance and the Grantee or its successors or assigns shall not further disturb or allow the disturbance of such hazardous substance without the prior written permission of the Grantor.

The Grantee, for itself, its successors and assigns, as part of the consideration for the conveyance of the Property, hereby releases the Grantor from any liability or responsibility for any claims arising solely out of the release or threatened release of any hazardous substance on the Property occurring after the date of the conveyance herein where such hazardous substance was placed on the Property by the Grantee, or its successors, assigns, employees, invitees, agents, contractors, or any person other than the Grantor after the date of the conveyance herein. This "Post-Transfer Discovery of Contamination and Release" provision shall not affect the Grantor's responsibilities to conduct response actions or corrective actions that are required by applicable laws, rules and regulations, or the Grantor's obligation under the "Covenant Pursuant to Sections 120(h)(3)(A)(ii) and (B) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. §§ 9620(h)(3)(A)(ii) and (B))."

## D. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION PROVISIONS

The Grantee shall neither transfer the Property, lease the Property, nor grant any interest, privilege, or license whatsoever in connection with the Property without including the "Environmental Protection Provisions" set forth in Exhibit $\qquad$ , attached hereto and made a part hereof, and shall require the said provisions be included in all subsequent deeds, easements, transfers, leases, or grant of any interest, privilege, or license in, of, on, or to the Property or any portion thereof.

## Enclosure 8

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION PROVISIONS

The following conditions, restrictions, and notifications will be attached, in a substantially similar form, as an exhibit to the deed and be incorporated therein by reference in order to ensure protection of human health and the environment.

## 1. FEDERAL FACILITY AGREEMENT

The Grantor acknowledges that the Umatilla Chemical Depot has been identified as a National Priorities List site under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) of 1980, as amended. The Grantee acknowledges that the Grantor has provided it with a copy of the Umatilla Chemical Depot Federal Facility Agreement (FFA) dated September 19, 1989. For so long as the Property remains subject to the FFA, the Grantee, its successors and assigns, agree that they will not interfere with United States Department of the Army activities required by the FFA. In addition, should any conflict arise between the FFA and any amendment thereto and the deed provisions, the FFA provisions will take precedence. The Grantor assumes no liability to the Grantee, its successors and assigns, should implementation of the FFA interfere with their use of the Property.

## 2. LAND USE RESTRICTIONS

A. The United States Department of the Army has undertaken careful environmental study of the Property and concluded that the land use restrictions set forth below are required to ensure protection of human health and the environment. The Grantee, its successors or assigns, shall not undertake nor allow any activity on or use of the property that would violate the land use restrictions contained herein.
(1) Residential and Agricultural Use Restriction. The Grantee, for itself, its successors and assigns agrees that it shall not use the areas known as the J-Block Igloos, the Building 115 Area, the Building 203 Area, and the UMCDF, as shown and described in Enclosure 1 Map 3 Exhibit B , the Closed Active Landfill OU, as shown and described in Enclosure 1 Map 3 Exhibit C (see also Paragraph 2(4) of this Section 2), the EWL-GW OU, as shown and described in Enclosure 1 Map 3 Exhibit F, and the Deactivation Furnace OU, as shown and described in Enclosure 1 Map 3 Exhibit E for the following purposes:

1. Residential use of any type;
2. Agricultural use of any type;
3. Child care facilities and recreational uses where children may be present, including playgrounds; and nursing home or assisted living facilities; and
4. Educational facilities for children/young adults in grades kindergarten through 12.
(2) Groundwater Restriction. Grantee is hereby informed and acknowledges that:
a. The groundwater underlying the EWL Groundwater Pump and Treat Area, as shown in Enclosure 1 Map 3 Exhibit F, contains the contaminant Hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5,-triazine (RDX), which is actively undergoing a pump and treat groundwater remedy; and
b. The groundwater underlying the Closed Active Landfill OU, as shown and described in Enclosure 1 Map 3 Exhibit C, contains nitrates and selenium.

Accordingly, neither withdrawal of groundwater nor any activity that may interfere with the groundwater remedy, is allowed within the EWL Ground Water Pump and Treat Area or the closed Active Landfill OU, shown and described in Enclosure 1 Map 3 Exhibit F and Enclosure 1 Map 3 Exhibit C, respectively, without prior written approval of the Army, EPA, and ODEQ. For the purpose of this restriction, "groundwater" shall have the same meaning as in section 101(12) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA).
(3) QA Function Range (aka Site 39) Land Use Restrictions. The Grantee is hereby informed and acknowledges that a Munitions And Explosives Of Concern (MEC) removal action appropriate for future agricultural use has been completed on this site, as shown and described in Enclosure 1 Map 3 Exhibit D. The Grantee, its successors and assigns, shall not use or permit others to use the 259 -acre portion of Site 39 for any use other than agricultural and incidental residential, which includes a farm house, and barn and utility buildings, or limited recreational use (e.g., hiking and hunting).
(4) Closed Active Landfill OU Restrictions. The Grantee is hereby informed and acknowledges that the Property has a closed non-hazardous waste landfill ("Closed Active Landfill"). The Grantee, its successors and assigns, shall not conduct or permit others to conduct any excavation activities of any kind (i.e. digging, drilling, or any other excavation or disturbance of the land surface or subsurface) or other activities, which may damage the Closed Active Landfill OU Parcel cap, as shown and described in Enclosure 1 Map 3 Exhibit C.
B. Modifying Restrictions. Nothing contained herein shall preclude the Grantee, its successors or assigns, from undertaking, in accordance with applicable laws and regulations and without any cost to the Grantor, such additional action necessary to allow for other less restrictive use of the Property. Prior to such use of the Property, Grantee shall consult with and obtain the approval of the Department of the Army, the U.S. EPA Region 10, and the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (ODEQ). Upon the Grantee's obtaining the approval of the Department of the Army, U.S. EPA Region 10 and ODEQ, the Grantor agrees to prepare and execute an instrument modifying or terminating, as appropriate, the land use restrictions set forth herein. This recordation of any such instrument in the county land records shall be the responsibility of the Grantee and accomplished at no additional cost to the Grantor.
C. Submissions. The Grantee, its successors and assigns, shall submit any requests to modifications to the above restrictions to the Department of the Army, U.S. EPA Region 10, and ODEQ by first class mail, postage prepaid, addressed as follows:
a) Department of the Army

BRAC Field Branch Chief
G-9 DAIN-ISE
600 Army Pentagon, Washington DC 20310-0600 (Reg Mail)
NC3/Taylor Bldg/RM 1416
2530 Crystal Drive, Arlington VA 22202 (FedEx)
b) U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Seattle District

4735 East Marginal Way South, BLDG 1202
Seattle, WA 98134-2388
c) U.S. EPA Region 10

Harry Craig
EPA Region 10
805 SW Broadway Suite 500
Portland, OR 97205
d) ODEQ

Pendleton Administrative Office
800 SE Emigrant Ave, Suite 330
Pendleton, OR 97801

## NOTICE OF STATE LAND USE RESTRICTION

This Property is subject to an Easement and Equitable Servitude held by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality pursuant to Oregon Revised Statues, Volume 13, Title 36A, Chapters 465 and 466 Hazardous Waste and Hazardous Materials, to be recorded in the Office of the Umatilla County Clerk and in Office of the Morrow County Clerk.

## 3. NOTICE OF THE POTENTIAL PRESENCE OF MUNITIONS AND EXPLOSIVES OF CONCERN (MEC)

A. The Grantee is hereby notified that due to the former use of the Property as a military installation, the Property may contain munitions and explosives of concern (MEC). The term MEC means specific categories of military munitions that may pose unique explosives safety risks and includes: (1) Unexploded Ordnance (UXO), as defined in 10 U.S.C. §101(e)(5); (2) Discarded military munitions (DMM), as defined in 10 U.S.C. §2710(e)(2); or (3) Munitions constituents (e.g., TNT, RDX), as defined in 10 U.S.C. §2710(e)(3), present in high enough concentrations to pose an explosive hazard.)
B. Site 39 in the northeastern corner of the Property was previously used as a rifle and pistol range and as a Quality Assurance (QA) testing area for flares, photoflash grenades, and mines. In October, 2008, a munitions response was conducted. Munition response activities included: clearance of MEC to a depth of two feet in the Rifle Range Area (107 acres) and in the Test Pad Area (68 acres), and to a depth of six feet in the Test Pit Area ( 1 acre). It included sifting the soil to a depth of two feet in the vicinity of three former QA function test pads, where a high density of
geophysical anomalies was found. Munitions response actions were completed in November 2008. Following the removal response actions, the Department of Defense Explosives Safety Board approved the response for no further action. Cleanup of Site 39 was based on future potential agricultural use and, therefore, only limited agricultural and recreational uses of the 259 acre site are allowed in accordance with paragraph 2.A.(3), above. The map depicting the location of munitions response site is provided and described in Enclosure 1 Map 3 Exhibit D.
C. The Grantor represents that, to the best of its knowledge, no MEC is currently present on Parcel 1. Notwithstanding the Grantor's determination, the parties acknowledge that there is a possibility that MEC may exist on the Property. If the Grantee, any subsequent owner, or any other person should find any MEC on the Property, they shall immediately stop any intrusive or grounddisturbing work in the area or in any adjacent areas and shall not attempt to disturb, remove or destroy it, but shall immediately notify the Umatilla County Sheriff at telephone number (541) 9663600 so that appropriate explosive ordnance disposal personnel can be dispatched to address such MEC as required under applicable law and regulations.

## D. Easement and Access Rights.

(1) The Grantor reserves a perpetual and assignable right of access on, over, and through the Property, to access and enter upon the Property in any case in which a munitions response action is found to be necessary, or such access and entrance is necessary to carry out a munitions response action on adjoining Property. Such easement and right of access includes, without limitation, the right to perform any additional investigation, sampling, testing, test-pitting, surface and subsurface clearance operations, or any other munitions response action necessary for the Grantor to meet its responsibilities under applicable laws and as provided for in this deed. This right of access shall be binding on the Grantee, its successors and assigns, and shall run with the land.
(2) In exercising this easement and right of access, the Grantor shall give the Grantee or the then record owner, reasonable notice of the intent to enter on the Property, except in emergency situations. Grantor shall use reasonable means, without significant additional cost to the Grantor, to avoid and/or minimize interference with the Grantee's and the Grantee's successors' and assigns' quiet enjoyment of the Property. Such easement and right of access includes the right to obtain and use utility services, including water, gas, electricity, sewer, and communications services available on the property at a reasonable charge to the Grantor. Excluding the reasonable charges for such utility services, no fee, charge, or compensation will be due the grantee nor its successors and assigns, for the exercise of the easement and right of access hereby retained and reserved by the Grantor.
(3) In exercising this easement and right of access, neither the Grantee nor its successors and assigns, as the case maybe, shall have any claim at law or equity against the Grantor or any officer, employee, agent, contractor of any tier, or servant of the Grantor based on actions taken by the United States or its officers, employees, agents, contractors of any tier, or servants pursuant to and in accordance with this easement and right of access. In addition, the Grantee, its successors and assigns, shall not interfere with any munitions response action conducted by the Grantor on the Property.
E. The Grantee acknowledges receipt of the After Action Report (August 2009).

## 4. NOTICE OF THE PRESENCE OF ASBESTOS AND COVENANT

A. The GRANTEE is warned that the Property contains friable and non-friable asbestos or asbestos-containing material (hereinafter referred to as "ACM"). Unprotected or unregulated exposures to asbestos in product manufacturing, shipyard, and building construction workplaces have been associated with asbestos-related diseases. Both the U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regulate asbestos because of the potential hazards associated with exposure to airborne asbestos fibers. Both OSHA and EPA have determined that such exposure increases the risk of asbestos-related diseases, which include certain cancers and which can result in disability or death. $\backslash$
B. The GRANTEE acknowledges that it has been invited, urged, and cautioned to inspect the Property prior to accepting the conveyance herein. More particularly, the GRANTEE acknowledges that it has been invited, urged, and cautioned to inspect the Property as to its asbestos and ACM content and condition and any hazardous or environmental conditions relating thereto. Enclosure 9, attached hereto and made a part hereof, provides an asbestos assessment summary. Notwithstanding the foregoing notice, the GRANTEE shall be deemed to have relied solely on its own judgment in assessing the overall condition of all or any portion of the Property including, without limitation, any asbestos and ACM hazards or concerns.
C. Any description of the Property or other information relating to the condition of the Property provided by the GRANTOR to the GRANTEE is based on the best information available to the Department of the Army and is believed to be correct, but an error or omission, including, but not limited to, the omission of any information available to the agency having custody over the Property and/or any other Federal agency, shall not constitute grounds or reason for any claim by the GRANTEE against the GRANTOR, including, without limitation, any claim for allowance, refund, or deduction from the purchase price.
D. The GRANTOR assumes no liability for damages for personal injury, illness, disability, or death, to the GRANTEE, or to the GRANTEE's successors, assigns, employees, invitees, or any other person subject to GRANTEE's control or direction, or to any other person, including members of the general public, arising from or incident to the purchase, transportation, removal, handling, use, disposition, or other activity causing or leading to contact of any kind whatsoever with asbestos on the Property that is the subject of the conveyance herein, whether the GRANTEE, its successors or assigns has or have properly warned or failed properly to warn the individual(s) injured.

## E. Buildings on the Property has been determined to contain friable and non-friable

 asbestos. The Grantee has covenants that these buildings are planned for demolition subsequent to the transfer. The GRANTEE covenants and agrees to undertake any and all asbestos removal in all buildings and structures on the Property that may be required under applicable law or regulation at no expense to the GRANTOR. The GRANTOR has agreed to convey the said buildings and structures to the GRANTEE prior to removal, remediation or abatement of asbestos and/or ACMhazards in reliance upon the GRANTEE's express representation and covenant to perform the required asbestos removal in said buildings and structures and not allow occupancy of said buildings and structures on the Property prior to demolition or abatement. The GRANTEE further covenants and agrees that, in its use and occupancy of the Property, it will comply with all Federal, State, and local laws and regulations relating to asbestos and ACM and to be responsible for any future removal, remediation or abatement of asbestos and/or ACM, including asbestos and/or ACM in or on buried pipelines, found to be necessary under applicable laws or regulations.

## 5. NOTICE OF THE PRESENCE OF LEAD-BASED PAINT (LBP) AND COVENANT AGAINST THE USE OF THE PROPERTY FOR RESIDENTIAL PURPOSE

A. The Grantee is hereby informed and does acknowledge that all buildings on the Property, which were constructed or rehabilitated prior to 1978, are presumed to contain lead-based paint. Lead from paint, paint chips, and dust can pose health hazards if not managed properly. Every purchaser of any interest in Residential Real Property on which a residential dwelling was built prior to 1978 is notified that there is a risk of exposure to lead from lead-based paint that may place young children at risk of developing lead poisoning.
B. The Grantee covenants and agrees that it shall not permit the occupancy or use of any buildings or structures on the Property as Residential Property, as defined under 24 Code of Federal Regulations Part 35, without complying with this section and all applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations pertaining to lead-based paint and/or lead-based paint hazards. Prior to permitting the occupancy of the Property, and specifically those structures presumed to contain lead-based paint as Grantee acknowledges in paragraph A above, where its use subsequent to sale is intended for residential habitation, the Grantee specifically agrees to perform, at its sole expense, the Army's abatement requirements under Title X of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1992 (Residential Lead-Based Paint Hazard Reduction Act of 1992).
C. The Grantee acknowledges that it has inspected or has had the opportunity to inspect the Property as to its lead-based paint content and condition and any hazardous or environmental conditions relating thereto. The Grantee shall be deemed to have relied solely on its own judgment in assessing the overall condition of all or any portion of the Property, including, without limitation, any lead-based paint hazards or concerns.

## 6. PESTICIDE NOTICE AND COVENANT

The Grantee is hereby notified and acknowledges that registered pesticides have been applied to the property conveyed herein and may continue to be present thereon. The Grantor and Grantee know of no use of any registered pesticide in a manner (1) inconsistent with its labeling or with the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) (7 U.S.C. § 136, et seq.) and other applicable laws and regulations, or (2) not in accordance with its intended purpose.

The Grantee covenants and agrees that if the Grantee takes any action with regard to the property, including demolition of structures or any disturbance or removal of soil that may expose, or cause a release of, a threatened release of, or an exposure to, any such pesticide, Grantee assumes all responsibility and liability therefor.

## Enclosure 9

## ASBESTOS SURVEY RESULTS

| Building Assessed | ACM <br> Identified | Quantity | Condition/Friability | Accessibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 101 | Roof Flashing | 144 <br> Linear <br> feet (LF) | Good, minimal damage/non-friable. | Low - partial barrier, not within easy reach. |
| 116 | TSI - Boiler Insulation was sampled; nondetect for ACM | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| $\begin{aligned} & 122,123, \\ & 125,126, \\ & 129 \end{aligned}$ | None | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 133 | Duct vent insulation | 4 LF | Good, minimal damage/non-friable. | Low - partial barrier, not within easy reach |
| 135 | None - Cement Asbestos Board (CAB) roof shingles removed and replaced with asphalt shingles. | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 139 | CAB building siding (tiles) | 640 SF | Good, /non-friable. | Moderate area fenced off. Once in fenced area within reach. |
| Building Assessed | ACM Identified | Quantity | Condition/Friability | Accessibility |
| 200 | Caulking | 300 LF | Good, no known damage/non-friable. | High - within easy reach. |
| $\begin{aligned} & 201,203, \\ & 204,205 \end{aligned}$ | None identified. Caulking may exist under siding or within roof system. | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 202 | Chrysotile was identified in transite board | 300 SF | Non-friable | Behind fire suppression equipment |


| Building <br> Assessed | ACM <br> Identified | Quantity | Condition/Friability | Accessibility |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | behind the fire <br> suppression <br> equipment <br> during <br> demolition in <br> 2018. |  |  |  |
| 208 | Fire door <br> suspect to <br> contain ACM | 2 | Good, no known <br> damage/non-friable. | High - within <br> easy reach. |
| 403,404, <br> 405,406, <br> 409,410, <br> 411, and <br> 414 | Ceiling tar, tar <br> paper and <br> caulking over <br> expansion <br> joints. | Tar and <br> tar paper <br> 12,000 <br> SF, <br> caulking <br> 250 LF <br> per <br> building | Good, no known <br> damage/ non-friable. | Moderate - <br> requires a <br> ladder to <br> reach ceiling. <br> High for <br> caulking. |
| 431,434 | Flashing, CAB <br> building siding, <br> and CAB siding <br> debris. | 4,000 SF | CAB shingles are in <br> good condition, but <br> some have fallen <br> onto the ground. <br> Non-friable | High - within <br> reach. |
| 433 | CAB siding, <br> CAB siding <br> debris. TSI on <br> boiler and <br> associated <br> piping has been <br> removed. | 2,000 SF | CAB siding is in <br> good condition. Non- <br> friable | High - within <br> reach. |
| 421,423, | CAB roof <br> shingles <br> 424, and <br> 425 | 927 SF <br> per <br> building | CAB shingles are in <br> good condition. Non- <br> friable | Moderate - <br> requires a lift <br> to access <br> shingles on <br> roof. |

## ENCLOSURE 10

## LEAD-BASED PAINT SAMPLE RESULTS

| Building/ Unit/ Room | Component | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Number of } \\ \text { samples } \\ \text { exceeding } \\ 1.0 \\ \left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Highest recorded lead value | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 101/Exterior, Loading Dock -1 , Storage - 1 | Various | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & >5.09 \\ & \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 116/Exterior, <br> Loading Dock -1 , <br> Stairway - 2, <br> Stairway - 3 | Various | 23 | $\begin{aligned} & >5.09 \\ & \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 122/Exterior | Various | 56 | $\begin{aligned} & >5.09 \\ & \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 123/Exterior, <br> Loading Dock - 2 | Various | 73 | $\begin{aligned} & >5.09 \\ & \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 125/Exterior, Loading Dock - 2 | Various | 73 | $\begin{aligned} & >5.09 \\ & \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 126/Exterior, Loading Dock - 1 | Various | 31 | $\begin{aligned} & >5.09 \\ & \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 129/Exterior, Loading Dock - 1 | Various | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & >5.09 \\ & \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 133/Exterior | Various | 14 | $\begin{aligned} & >5.09 \\ & \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 135/Exterior | Various | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.63 \\ & \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 139/Exterior | Various | 9 | $\begin{aligned} & >5.09 \\ & \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \end{aligned}$ | QC sample taken. |
| 160/Exterior | Various | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.49 \\ & \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 161/Exterior | Various | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.97 \\ & \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 200/Exterior | Various | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & >5.09 \\ & \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 201/Exterior | Trim | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & >5.09 \\ & \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \end{aligned}$ | QC sample taken. Gutter board. |
| 202/Exterior | Various | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.51 \\ & \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |


| Building/ Unit/ Room | Component | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Number of } \\ \text { samples } \\ \text { exceeding } \\ 1.0 \\ \left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Highest } \\ \text { recorded lead } \\ \text { value } \end{array}$ | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 204/Exterior | Various | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & >5.09 \\ & \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 205/Exterior, <br> Storage - 1 | Various | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & >5.09 \\ & \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 208/Exterior | Various | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.56 \\ & \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 421/Exterior | Various | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & >5.09 \\ & \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 423/Exterior | Various | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & >5.09 \\ & \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 424/Exterior | Various | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & >5.09 \\ & \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 425/Exterior | Various | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & >5.09 \\ & \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 431/Exterior | Various | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & >5.09 \\ & \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 433/Dressing Room-2, Exterior | Various | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & >5.09 \\ & \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 434/Exterior | Various | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & >5.09 \\ & \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 442/Storage - 1 | Door Molding | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.93 \\ & \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 803/Loading Dock <br> - 1, Ramp-1 | Various | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & >5.09 \\ & \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \end{aligned}$ | Concrete edge \& 6inch striping paint; All ramp components same yellow. |
| 808/ Exterior, <br> Loading Dock - 1, <br> Ramp - 2 | Various | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & >5.09 \\ & \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 809/Exterior | Siding | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.57 \\ & \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 810/Exterior, Loading Dock-1, Ramp - 1 | Various | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & >5.09 \\ & \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |


| Building/ Unit/ <br> Room | Component | Number of <br> samples <br> exceeding <br> $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | Highest <br> recorded lead <br> value | Comment |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 811/Exterior | Siding |  |  |  |
| 812/Exterior, Ramp <br> -1 | Various | 2 | 2.77 <br> $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ |  |
| 813/Loading Dock - <br> 1, Stairway - 1 | Various | 2 | 4.04 <br> $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ |  |
| 814/Loading Dock <br> - 1, Stairway -1 | Various | 2 | $>5.09$ <br> $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ |  |
| 817/Loading Dock <br> -1, Ramp -2 | Loading <br> Dock <br> Bumper | 3 | 1.72 <br> $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ |  |
| 818/Exterior, <br> Loading Dock-1, <br> Ramp - 1, <br> Stairway -1 | Various | 15 | 3.79 <br> $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ |  |
| 819/Exterior, <br> Loading Dock - 1, <br> Ramp - 1, | Various | 9 | $>5.09$ <br> Stairway - 1 |  |

*Source: Hart Crowser, 1996.

## ENCLOSURE 11 <br> REGULATORY/PUBLIC COMMENTS

## 2/8/2022

## EPA COMMENTS ON THE UMATILLA DRAFT FINAL FINDING OF SUITABILITY TO TRANSFER (FOST), UMATILLA CHEMICAL DEPOT, OREGON, COLUMBIA DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY, PARCEL 1, DATED XXXX 2022

## I. GENERAL COMMMENTS

1. EPA has completed review of the Umatilla draft final Finding of Suitability to Transfer (FOST) dated XXXX 2022. This review was conducted to assess the technical and legal adequacy of the document for land transfer under the provisions of CERCLA Section 120.
2. One of the major omissions of the draft final FOST was the Army's failure to address emerging contaminants such as Per-and-Polyfluorinated Alkylated Substances (PFAS). EPA has identified PFAS as a high priority for site investigation where background information suggests potential sources were used or disposed of on DOD military installations, including BRAC sites. PFAS compounds are used in a number of products and identified sources are: 1) fire training areas utilizing Aqueous Film Forming Foams (AFFF), 2) landfill leachates, 3) metal plating operations, 4) sewage sludges, and 5) munitions OB/OD disposal sites.
3. Several of these potential sources exist on the Umatilla Army Depot, and to date the Army has not investigated environmental releases of PFAS into soil and groundwater from potential source areas. These potential source areas include: 1) the closed Active Landfill OU, 2) sewage sludge drying beds, 3) Ammunition Demolition Area (ADA) munitions OB/OD unit OU, and 4) fire training areas and burn pits identified by the Army National Guard Bureau PFAS Preliminary Assessment. Several of these source areas are on the Columbia Development Authority (CDA) transfer property such as the closed Active Landfill OU, or in very close proximity to the CDA property such as the ANGB fire training areas/burn pits, which are within 200 to 400 feet of the boundary between the ANGB and CDA property boundary (ANGB 2020, Fig 2-1).
4. Given these existing data gaps, the Army must acknowledge to the transferee that the Army remains responsible under CERCLA Section 120 for contamination after the transfer (unless the grantee caused the contamination); the Army must inform the transferee about the potential PFAS areas. EPA recommends that the Army conduct PFAS sampling as expeditiously as possible to address these remaining data gaps. EPA identified the outstanding PFAS data gaps to the Army in our comments on the Fifth Five Year Review in 2020 and follow up letter in 2021.

## II. SPECIFIC COMMENTS

1. EPA's specific comments on the sections of the draft final FOST are including in the attached Word version of the draft final FOST, EPA technical and legal comments are included in the margins.

## III. REFERENCES

1. EPA PFAS Guidance, https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2019-
$12 /$ documents/epas interim recomendations for addressing groundwater contaminated w ith pfoa and pfos_dec 2019.pdf
2. Army PFAS Guidance 2018, https://denix.osd.mil/dod-pfas/army-policies/text/guidance-forpfas/
3. Army PFAS Policy 2021, https://denix.osd.mil/dod-pfas/army-policies/text/army-pfaspolicy/
4. EPA CERCLA Fifth Five Year Review, Umatilla Army Depot, Hermiston, Oregon, letter dated 9/25/2020 signed by Calvin Terada.
5. EPA Umatilla Army Depot Federal Facility, Hermiston, Oregon, letter dated 2/16/2021 signed by Calvin Terada.
6. FINAL Preliminary Assessment Report, Camp Umatilla, Hermiston, Oregon, Perfluorooctane-Sulfonic Acid (PFOS) and Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA) Impacted Sites, Prepared for: Army NGB and USACE, Baltimore District, prepared by: AECOM, Germantown, MD, dated May 2020.

## ENCLOSURE 12

ARMY RESPONSE TO COMMENTS

| Comment Number/Location | Comment | Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPA letter, para 2, 3, 4 | Inform the transferee of the potential PFAS areas. | The Army is currently conducting a PFAS Preliminary Assessment (PA) to determine if there are likely areas that could have resulted in a PFAS release. We will continue to follow the CERCLA investigative and cleanup process as necessary. We have protections of human health and the environment at potential PFAS AOC's as there are existing GW restrictions for the use of GW at the washout lagoon GW and the GW in the vicinity of the landfill, in prior monitoring of the landfill no GW issues were identified. We have specifically been sampling for PFAS to ensure our drinking water is safe, to date drinking water samples have not identified any PFAS in drinking water. |
| EPA cmt 1, pg 1, $\text { para } 1$ | Inserted "determination by the United States Department of the Army (Army) of the " in the first line. | Not accepted. This is model language provided by DoD, clarification on an Army signature document only is unnecessary. |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { EPA cmt } 2, \operatorname{pg} 1, \\ \text { para } 1 \end{gathered}$ | 6th line changed " the to this" | Accept the change |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { EPA cmt } 3, \operatorname{pg} 1, \\ & \text { para } 4 \end{aligned}$ | 5th line, changed "the to this', inserted the property after parcel 1 , inserted "or address" after evaluate. The change of parcel 1 to the "Property" was a change they made throughout the FOST, we have accepted all of those changes. | Accept the change |
| $\text { EPA cmt, } 4, \operatorname{pg} 1,$ $\text { para } 4$ | 5th line inserted "or address" after evaluate. | Accept the change |
| EPA cmt 5, pg 1, $\text { para } 4$ | added "The" before Army. | Accept the change |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { EPA cmt } 6, \operatorname{pg} 2, \\ \text { para } 1 \end{gathered}$ | 4th line spelled out ODOT, changed FOST to property. | Accept the change |


| Comment <br> Number/Location | Comment | Response |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| EPA cmt 7, pg 2, <br> para 2 | 3rd line, spelled out CWM | Accept the change |
| EPA cmt 8, pg 2, <br> 4th para | 4th line spelled out DOD | Accept the change |
| EPA cmt 9, pg 2, <br> 4th para | 5th line changed "FOST to property" | Accept the change |
| EPA cmt 10, pg 2 <br> 4th para | Changed Parcel 1 to the property | Accept the change |
| EPA cmt 11, pg 3, <br> 1st para | 25th line changed agency to Army | Not accepted, more than Army files were searched. |
| EPA cmt 12, pg 3, <br> 2nd para | 1st line spelled out ECP | Accept the change |
| EPA cmt 13, pg 4, <br> 1st para | 1st line deleted "Department of Defense", left <br> the acronym DOD | Accept the change |
| EPA cmt 14, pg 4 <br> 2nd para | 1st line inserted "storage" | Not accepted, changes the definition of ECP category 1 <br> property. The Army provides this certification by providing <br> a notice in the FOST as well as the deed. Section 331 of the <br> 1997 NDAA amended 120(h)(4) to remove "stored for one <br> year or more, known to have been released" and the <br> definition of property categories is found in ASTM D5746- <br> 98(2016). |
| EPA cmt 15, pg 4, <br> 2nd para | 2nd line, inserted "pollutants and <br> contaminates" | Not accepted, changes the definition of ECP category 1 <br> property. The Army provides any known information on <br> pollutants and contaminates in paragraph 4. The statutory <br> requirement/language in Section 120(h)(4) for <br> uncontaminated property - Category 1 - does not include <br> pollutants and/or contaminants. |
| EPA cmt 16, pg 4, <br> 2nd para | 3rd line, "deleted CDA and inserted 1 after <br> parcel". | Accept the change. <br> EPA cmt 17, pg 4 <br> 4th para | | 1st line inserted "storage" |
| :--- |

E13-2

| Comment <br> Number/Location | Comment | Response |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| EPA cmt 18, pg 4 <br> 4th para | 2nd line, inserted "pollutants and <br> contaminates" | a notice in the FOST as well as the deed. "Storage" and <br> "pollutants or contaminants" are not included in the <br> definition of a Category 3 site. |
| EPA cmt 19, pg 5, <br> 1st para | 1st line inserted "storage" | Not accepted, changes the definition of ECP category 3 <br> property. The Army provides any known information on <br> pollutants and contaminates in paragraph 4. |
| EPA cmt 20, pg 5, <br> 1st para | 2nd line, inserted "pollutants and <br> contaminates" | Not accepted, changes the definition of ECP category 4 <br> property. The Army provides this certification by providing <br> a notice in the FOST as well as the deed. |
| EPA cmt 21, pg 5, <br> 3rd para | 5th line inserted "allowances" after use, <br> changed "was to are". | Not accepted, changes the definition of ECP category 4 <br> property. The Army provides any known information on <br> pollutants and contaminates in paragraph 4. |
| EPA cmt 22, pg 5 <br> 4th para | 2nd line changed "response to remedial". | Accept the change. |
| EPA cmt 23, pg 5 <br> 4th para | 5th line deleted "were defined" and inserted <br> "required in accordance with the applicable" | Accept the change. |
| EPA cmt 24, pg 5 <br> 4th para | 6th line, inserted "are or after LUCs" | Accept the change. |
| EPA cmt 25, pg 5 <br> 4th para | 9th line, inserted "of the Property to CDA" | Accept the change. |
| EPA cmt 26, pg 6, <br> table 4 2nd row | Deleted "further removal and NFA". Added <br> this comment "Under the NCP, cite "no- <br> further action" when prior response action has <br> occurred in the reference area and "no-action" <br> when no previous response action was taken <br> in the area". EPA made this comment <br> throughout the table. | Made this change throughout the table. |
| EPA cmt 27, pg 7 <br> 2nd row | EPA comment, "LUCs are necessary <br> consistent with the 2020 ESD". | Insert language, LUCs were implement as required in the <br> 2020 ESD. |


| Comment <br> Number/Location | Comment | Response |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| EPA cmt 28, pg 7 <br> 3rd row | EPA wants OPS spelled out | Spelled out OPS, operating properly and successfully. |
| EPA cmt 29, pg 7 <br> row 5 | EPA comment, "EPA recommended that the <br> Army evaluate this site for PFAS since this <br> pollutant or contaminant is often associated <br> with landfills. The Army should explain why <br> it does not associate PFAS with the landfill." | The Army is currently conducting a PFAS Preliminary <br> Assessment (PA) to determine if there are likely areas that <br> could have resulted in a PFAS release. We will continue to <br> follow the CERCLA investigative and cleanup process as <br> necessary. We have protections of human health and the <br> environment at potential PFAS AOCs as there are existing <br> GW restrictions for the use of GW in the vicinity of the <br> landfill, in prior monitoring of the landfill no GW issues were <br> identified. We have specifically been sampling for PFAS to <br> ensure our drinking water is safe, to date drinking water <br> samples have not identified any PFAS in drinking water. |
| EPA cmt 30, pg 7 <br> row 5 | EPA comment, "what is the status of the work <br> for this site?" | The landfill has been closed IAW the Oregon permit, LUCs <br> were implemented IAW the closure plan. |
| EPA cmt 31, pg 7 | EPA comment "LUCs are necessary <br> row 5 | Insert the following language, the landfill has been closed <br> IAW the Oregon permit, LUCs were implemented IAW |
| 2020 ESD. |  |  |

$\left.\begin{array}{|c|l|l|}\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Comment } \\ \text { Number/Location }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { ballasts and other potential PCB-containing } \\ \text { equipment? Especially older equipment (pre- } \\ \text { 1976) from before PCBs were no longer } \\ \text { allowed in electrical equipment? Also, did the } \\ \text { Army do an evaluation of PCBs in paint or } \\ \text { caulk from the pre-1976 era"? }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Response }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { the 2013 ECP. The report provides information on the } \\ \text { transformers, such as sampling, locations, maps, PCB } \\ \text { concentrations, in use, etc. In accordance with DoD 4165.66- } \\ \text { M, the Army must review all appropriate electrical } \\ \text { equipment on the installation to ensure that they are } \\ \text { appropriately classified as PCB, PCB-contaminated, or non- } \\ \text { PCB (40 CFR 761.30). }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c}\text { EPA cmt 34, pg } \\ 11 \text { 4th para }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { 6th line EPA comment, "explain how this is } \\ \text { an acceptable standard for ruling out potential } \\ \text { releases of asbestos." }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Delete " fair ". A re-inspection was conducted as part of } \\ \text { updating the Umatilla Asbestos Assessment in 2021. No } \\ \text { regulated asbestos-containing material was identified }\end{array} \\ \text { (RACM ). However, it is possible that asbestos is present in } \\ \text { inaccessible areas (such as, inside igloo doors or around } \\ \text { pipes inside walls). Asbestos is also present in accessible } \\ \text { areas, but in binders such as cement or caulking. ACM will } \\ \text { not present an unacceptable risk to human health, as the } \\ \text { asbestos is either contained within a binding agent or is } \\ \text { inaccessible." }\end{array}\right\}$

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Comment } \\ \text { Number/Location }\end{array}$ | Comment | Response |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | \left\lvert\, \(\left.\begin{array}{l}management of any ACM in accordance with applicable <br>

laws. The Army is not attempting to transfer CERCLA <br>
liability for ACM through the deed. Instead, the Army is <br>
using a transparent, recorded mechanism to up the transferee <br>
and others on notice of existing environmental conditions <br>
with a commitment from them to manage ACM in <br>
accordance with applicable laws and regulations. ACM and <br>
LBP are common building conditions and their presence <br>
within or on a building does not constitute a hazardous <br>
substance release to the environment; nor is the simple <br>
presence of ACM in or on a building a threat of a hazardous <br>
substance release to the environment.\end{array}\right.\right\}\)

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Comment } \\ \text { Number/Location }\end{array}$ | Comment | Response |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\begin{array}{c}\text { EPA cmt 38, pg } \\ 12,6 \text { th para }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { EPA comment "Need to address potential } \\ \text { sources of PFAS for 1) AFFF fire training } \\ \text { areas, 2) landfills, 3) sewage sludge lagoons, } \\ \text { and 4) MEC OB/OD disposal areas". }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { required when the building is scheduled for demolition, non- } \\ \text { residential use, or non-child-occupied facilities, or when the } \\ \text { transferee conducts renovation consistent with regulatory } \\ \text { requirements for abatement of LBP hazards. See response } \\ \text { above, too. }\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{c}\text { The Army is currently conducting a PFAS PA to determine if } \\ \text { there are likely areas that could have resulted in a PFAS } \\ \text { release. We will continue to follow the CERCLA } \\ \text { investigative and cleanup process as necessary. The FOST } \\ \text { EPPs articulate the Army's responsibilities under CERCLA } \\ \text { if PFAS is determined to be present. We have protections of } \\ \text { human health and the environment as there are existing GW }\end{array}$ |  |  |
| restrictions for the washout lagoon GW and the GW in the |  |  |
| vicinity of the landfill. There is no confirmed PFAS release |  |  |
| on the property, there is no requirement to include a notice |  |  |
| for the potential release of a pollutant or contaminant. |  |  |$\}$


| Comment Number/Location | Comment | Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPA cmt 45, pg 14, 3rd paragraph | 1st and second line, spelled out EPA and ODEQ. | Accept the change. |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { EPA cmt 46, pg } \\ 14 \text { 3rd para } \end{gathered}$ | 4th line, inserted "to those comments" | Accept the change. |
| EPA cmt 47, E3-1 | Enclosure 3, EPA comment, "whenever there is an indication of remedial action complete or no-father action or no-action, there should be a brief explanation describing the condition of the property (i.e. all hazardous substances have been removed, some hazardous substances remain in $\qquad$ but at acceptable exposure levels, or some hazardous substances remain in $\qquad$ such that abiding by ICs/LUCs will protect against exposures. and when no-action is the determination, there should also be a brief supporting explanation (i.e. no hazardous substances discovered in this area, or hazardous substances present in $\qquad$ but at acceptable exposure levels, or hazardous substance present in $\qquad$ such that abiding by ICs/LUCs will provide acceptable protection)". | Not accepted, this is a notification table for property transfer purposes and does not need to duplicate the information that is found elsewhere. |
| EPA cmt 48, E3-1 , 3rd row | EPA comment "LUCs need to continue based on the 2020 ESD". | add, "LUCs were implemented per the 2020 ESD". |
| EPA cmt 49, E3-4 4th row | Last line added the "groundwater in this portion of " and "to restrict groundwater extraction and use" at the end of the line. | Accept the addition |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { EPA cmt 50, E3-6 } \\ \text { 1st row } \end{gathered}$ | Added "to restrict potential exposure to landfill waste". | Accept the addition |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { EPA cmt 51, E3-6 } \\ & \text { 2nd row } \end{aligned}$ | Added "to prevent exposure to remaining contamination" add the end of the last line. | Accept the addition. |


| Comment <br> Number/Location | Comment | Response |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| EPA cmt 52, E3-6, <br> 3rd row | EPA comment, what cleanup was done here <br> and what remains | added the following additional information. Soil remediation <br> was completed in 1997 with NFA required. |
| EPA cmt 53, E3-7 | This page has the definitions for the ECP <br> categories. EPA has again insert words such <br> as storage and pollutants and contaminates <br> that is not consistent with the standard <br> definitions. | Not accepted, the insertions "Storage" and "pollutants or <br> contaminants" are not included in the definition for ECP <br> categories. |
| EPA cmt 54, E4-1, <br> 1st row | Encl 4 table 2 ,EPA wants a description of the <br> LUCs and the reasons why they were put in <br> place. EPA wants this explained throughout <br> the table. | Provided a description of the LUCs and the reason why they <br> were put in place throughout the table. |
| EPA cmt 55, E5-1 <br> 2nd row | added a comment" because (explain what has <br> become of the PCB's | added the following text, PCBs were not present and <br> remediation of other contaminates was not required because <br> contamination was at acceptable exposure levels. |
| EPA cmt 56, E5-5 <br> 3rd row | EPA comment, "what about pollutants or <br> contaminants". | Not accepted, this follows the definitions in use which is only <br> for hazardous substances or petroleum products. |
| EPA cmt 57, E5-6 <br> 1st row | EPA added a comment about the word <br> inactive, "is the tank gone or still there? if it is is <br> still there, what are the current contents"? | Added the tank was removed. |
| EPA cmt 58, E7-2 <br> last paragraph | EPA comment, "what about claims under <br> CERCLA for costs or damages or injunctive <br> relief in the Army fails to take proper <br> remedial action"? | Reject deletion of "remaining" on page E7-2 in first line. <br> The provision about a claim pertains only to the Army's <br> access rights to respond to a post-transfer discovery of <br> contamination or requirement for additional remediation. <br> The deed does not discuss liability for non-action against the <br> Army and does not preclude CERCLA claims for such non- <br> action. |
| EPA cmt 59, E7-3 <br> 1st para | 3rd line, EPA comment, "what about the <br> CERCLA requirements for providing a <br> warranty and covenants in 120(h)(3)(A) and <br> (B)"? | This is standard language found in all DoD/US Government <br> deeds. |
| 2nd para |  |  |$\quad$| EPA comment on the 1st line, see comment |
| :--- |
| above |$\quad$| See above |
| :--- |


| Comment Number/Location | Comment | Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPA cmt 61, E7 3, 3rd para | EPA comment on the entire paragraph, "but is seems contradictory" | See above |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { EPA cmt 62, E7 } 3 \\ \text { 4th para } \end{gathered}$ | EPA comment on the 6th line, "see comment above about ongoing obligation of the Army to remediate the Property if necessary". | The property is being transferred in a transparent manner, alerting Grantee and all future owners of potential building material concerns that will require compliance with regulations and standards, these are not threatened releases to the environment of hazardous substances. |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { EPA cmt 63, E7-3 } \\ 5 \text { th para } \end{gathered}$ | EPA comment on the last three lines, "how is the U.S. not responsible for the costs associated with addressing hazardous substances at the Property which were there when the transfer occurred"? | Costs associated with a known environmental condition which have not been released into the environment at the time of transfer does not trigger a CERCLA liability. |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { EPA cmt } 64, \mathrm{E} 7-3, \\ \text { last para } \end{gathered}$ | 1st line, inserted "pollutant or contaminant" | Not accepted, while the Army is making a policy decision to respond to pollutants and contaminants, such as PFAS, found after transfer, the statutory language is only for hazardous substances. |
| EPA cmt 65, E7-4 1st and 2nd paras | inserted "pollutant and contaminate" after hazardous substance throughout the paragraphs. | See above. |
| EPA cmt 66, E8-1 | 2nd line added "and will prohibit others from using" after use. | Not accepted. |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { EPA cmt, } 67, \text { E8-2 } \\ \text { 2nd para } \end{gathered}$ | added 42 USC 9601 (12) at the end of the last line. | Accept the change. |
| EPA cmt 68, E8-2, 4th para | EPA comment, What liners are being referred to? | Changed liner to Cap. Cap consists of soil, liner, then top soil. |
| EPA cmt 69, E8-2 <br> 5th para | 4th line, inserted "prohibitions on" before use of the property and inserted "modifications on" before use of the property. | Accept the change |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { EPA cmt 70, E8-4 } \\ \text { 2nd para } \end{gathered}$ | EPA comment on the last line on the word regulations, "and what about adding contact the Army for a possible response?" | The Army provides the local contact information to make it easier to reach a government official. The local Sheriff's office knows how to contact the appropriate EOD personnel. |


| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Comment } \\ \text { Number/Location }\end{array}$ | Comment | Response |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | \left\lvert\, \(\left.\begin{array}{l}EPA cmt 71, E8-4 <br>

3rd para\end{array} $$
\begin{array}{l}\text { EPA comment on 2nd line concerning } \\
\text { munitions response, "shouldn't this also } \\
\text { include a response to other hazardous } \\
\text { substances, pollutants or contaminants?" }\end{array}
$$ \quad $$
\begin{array}{l}\text { Response to comments on E8-4. This notice is specific to } \\
\text { MEC. The access provision in Enclosure 7.D. covers all other } \\
\text { substances. }\end{array}
$$\right.\right\}\)

| Comment <br> Number/Location | Comment | Response |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | property and receiving the transferee's covenant to handle <br> soils in a responsible manner to avoid or address any <br> potential future CERCLA liability during redevelopment. |


[^0]:    DISCLAIMER- While the United States Army Corps of Engineers,
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    nsure the accuracy of the maps and associated data, its should be
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    accuracy, timeliness or completeness of any of the data provided
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